



Mental Health

New Zealand College of Public Health Medicine Policy Statement

Policy statement

The New Zealand College of Public Health Medicine (NZCPHM) is committed to improving the mental health and wellbeing of our communities. Mental health is a core component of good health, and mental wellbeing is crucial for thriving, flourishing communities. The NZCPHM is committed to creating a society that supports flourishing mental health of all populations.

Public mental health is a core component of public health medicine. Public mental health includes the promotion of mental health and the prevention, effective treatment, care and recovery from mental illness using a public health medicine approach.¹

The NZCPHM calls for New Zealand to act in three key ways:

1. Address current problems, by: prioritising and funding mental illness prevention and mental wellbeing promotion, including addressing the determinants of mental health; focusing on achieving equitable mental health outcomes; improving the data collection of the Programme for the Integration of Mental Health Data (PRIMHD) — in particular by including primary care data, having better data capture of diagnoses, and having more holistic and functional outcome measures; supporting and funding a national mental health and addiction survey;
2. Take a public health approach to mental health, by: addressing the determinants of mental health and wellbeing; improving the mental health and wellbeing of Māori and eliminating inequities; investing in early life: infant, child and youth mental health; using evidence to inform policies and funding decisions;
3. Build a society that: embraces equity; adopts a comprehensive and holistic view of health; implements strong policy to reduce alcohol related harm; includes mental health and wellbeing in all policies; includes the wellbeing of children in all policies; recognises and gives effect to te Tiriti o Waitangi.

The NZCPHM supports the policy directions in New Zealand by the former Mental Health Commission¹ and the national mental health service development plan relating to public mental health.^{2,3,4}

The New Zealand College of Public Health Medicine (NZCPHM) also supports in general the UK Faculty of Public Health (FPH)'s 2016 report on public mental health 'Better Mental Health For All'.¹ The NZCPHM endorses the FPH's call to action for public health practitioners to become advocates for public mental health, providing strong leadership and prioritising mental health within public health practice. The NZCPHM recognises the ethnic and socioeconomic inequities in mental health in New Zealand, supports the equity-focused public mental health approach advocated by the FPH, but

¹ The Office of the Health and Disability Commissioner took over the functions of the Mental Health Commission in 2012

recognises too the particular additional responsibilities for the health sector and health practice in New Zealand with the partnership between the Crown and Māori under te Tiriti o Waitangi.

The NZCPHM also supports in general the United Kingdom (UK) the statements on public mental health by the Royal College of Psychiatrists and the UK Chief Medical Officer.^{5,6}

Background

The context of public health and NZCPHM policy statements

The NZCPHM represents the medical speciality of public health medicine in New Zealand. Public health medicine is defined as the branch of medicine concerned with the epidemiological analysis of the health and health care of populations and population groups. It involves the assessment of population health and health care needs, the development of policy and strategy, health promotion, the control and prevention of disease, and the organisation of services. Public health is focussed on achieving health equity across ethnic, socioeconomic, age and cultural groups, and promoting environments in which everyone can be healthy.⁷

Public health medicine specialists have a professional responsibility to act as advocates for health for everyone in society.^{7,8} This means the NZCPHM advocates for and supports evidence-informed⁹ equity-enhancing¹⁰ policy on mental health for health and wellbeing that accords with te Tiriti o Waitangi,¹¹ the UN Sustainable Development Goals,¹² and health promotion^{13,14} and Health in All Policies¹⁵ approaches, each grounded in the societalⁱⁱ, economic and environmental determinants of health.¹⁷ Regarding mental health, the College calls for both national and local action to address the social determinants of mental health, a focus on equity and improving Maori health, and for health systems to be reoriented toward prevention.

Health Promotion is defined as ‘the process of enabling people to increase control over their health and its determinants, and thereby improve their health’,¹⁴ and Te Pae Mahutonga health promotion framework¹⁸ provides a uniquely New Zealand approach to health promotion (see Figure 1 below). This policy statement on mental health aligns with Te Pae Mahutonga’s¹⁸ mauriora (cultural identity), waiora (physical environment), toiora (healthy lifestyles), te oranga (participation in society), ngā manukura (community leadership), and te mana whakahaere (autonomy) components.



Figure 1. Te Pae Mahutonga health promotion framework¹⁸

ⁱⁱ Societal determinants of health include commercial, political, governance, economic, cultural, even religious determinants—being the societal structures that are the conditions for health and disease. Each of the structural determinants eventually impact on health in a positive or negative way.

Human health and wellbeing is linked inextricably to the health promoting characteristics and inter-dependencies of the family, work, educational, environmental and planetary ‘settings’ the community finds itself in – as depicted conceptually in Barton and Grant’s Health Map¹⁹ and Raworth’s Doughnut of social and ecological/planetary boundaries²⁰ (see Figure 2 below). The College’s policies recognise that individual health and wellbeing is not created in a vacuum; instead, individuals are born with a specific genome that has been shaped by the various environments of their forebears. Individuals grow and make choices in cultures and environments that support or impair their ability to live healthy flourishing lives, within wider planetary ecological limits.




Figure 2. Barton and Grant’s Health Map¹⁹ and Raworth’s Doughnut (social and ecological/planetary boundaries)²⁰

A policy environment that enables individuals and communities to ‘make the healthy choice the easy choice’ must therefore achieve the fundamental human right of ‘the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of health ... without distinction of race, religion, political belief, economic or social condition’,²¹ living lives of dignity and opportunity whilst safeguarding the planet.²⁰

Mental health needs in New Zealand

Mental illness is the leading cause of disability and a leading cause of overall DALY loss in the world, increasingly so.^{22,23} In New Zealand, mental disorder is both common and more prevalent in some groups than others.^{2,24,25} Neuropsychiatric disorders (mental health disorders and dementia) are increasing in their disease burden and are now the leading cause of health loss on New Zealand, accounting for 19% of total DALYs loss.²⁶ Māori and Pacific peoples are disproportionately affected.^{2,24,25,27} Mental illness is hugely costly to the individual and to society.²⁸ The former Mental Health Commission has both described the extent of disease burden and inequities and its vision for a wider public health approach (including whole of health sector and whole of government approaches)² and then solutions,³ as has Government national mental health planning.^{4,25}

What is currently working well in mental health and wellbeing in New Zealand

There are signs of growing societal awareness of the importance of mental wellbeing, and the expectation that investment in prevention is necessary. There are many hard working and skilled mental health clinicians and other mental health workers, dedicated to improving the lives of the people and communities in which they work.

There have also been effective local responses to mental health challenges, such as the response to the mental health effects of the Canterbury earthquakes, from which we can learn. In 2011, in the wake of the second Christchurch earthquake, the Chief Science Advisor called for a comprehensive and effective psychosocial recovery programme to support the Christchurch community.³⁴ The All Right? Campaign (www.allright.org.nz) is a population based mental health promotion campaign developed for this purpose, which has been consistently evaluated as highly successful. Its key ingredients include having a clear mandate; ongoing funding, research and evaluation; established practice models and theories; a diverse, multidisciplinary team; a responsive, adaptable approach; community involvement and trust; and tools to promote engagement. This model was responsive to local contexts and emerging issues, informed by local research alongside wider mental wellbeing promotion evidence and practice. This could make it a useful prototype for developing a national programme of action consisting of regionally-owned and developed population mental wellbeing initiatives.

What is not working well currently

Overwhelming demand/supply mismatch

The burden of disease from serious mental illness is large, with estimates of 95,700 disability-adjusted lives lost (DALYs) in New Zealand annually.³⁵ Population survey data indicate:

1. Mental distress is common: about four in five adults (aged 15 years or more) have experience of mental distress personally or among people they know.
2. Although mental distress is strongly patterned by disadvantage, anyone and everyone can experience distress.
3. There are many different ways of experiencing mental distress beyond standard diagnoses of illnesses like depression and anxiety.
4. Feeling isolated from others is strongly associated with symptoms of depression, anxiety and other forms of mental distress, and also with lower levels of life satisfaction.
5. 15 to 24-year-olds report high levels of isolation and mental distress.
6. Awareness of mental distress in self or in others is associated with more positive attitudes (eg, being willing to work with someone with experience of mental distress); but people are reluctant to disclose mental distress, particularly at work.³⁶

Yet mental health services in Aotearoa New Zealand are operating an ‘ambulance at the bottom of the cliff’ model, where they are only able to provide services for those with more severe and/or long-term conditions. This is because of overwhelming demand/supply mismatch, with current service and funding provision necessarily heavily weighted toward specialist services. There is inadequate funding of many mental health services, including early intervention, child and youth mental health, maternal mental health, and primary care mental health support and community services.

Crucially, there is also a significant lack of funding and prioritisation of mental illness prevention and support for community and whānau wellbeing.

Inequitable mental health

Unfortunately, data continue to indicate that we have significant mental illness, suicide and unmet need for mental health services.

Furthermore, data indicate that Māori, socioeconomically deprived populations and gender or sexual minorities are disproportionately affected by mental illness.^{37,38,39} Notably, Māori males have the highest rate of death by suicide in Aotearoa, and suicide is the second leading cause of ‘Years of Life Lost’ for Māori males in Aotearoa.⁴⁰ More mental illness and suicide are seen in Māori and among people who identify as LGBTIQ+ⁱⁱⁱ, partly because both these groups suffer more discrimination, prejudice and social isolation.^{36,41,42,43,44,45}

The physical health of people with mental illness is another area of great concern.⁴⁶ New Zealand data indicate that people with mental illness experience more ill health than their peers without mental illness and higher rates of premature morbidity.^{46,47}

Māori mental health connection with colonisation

Māori mental health is strongly connected with colonisation. Colonisation is a story of “depopulation, disease and dispossession”.⁴⁸ The impact of colonisation – both historic and present day – has been devastating on the mental health of many Māori in Aotearoa.^{11,42,49}

Māori were viewed as a ‘lesser race’ by European colonisers and challenged Māori authority over political control and resources.⁴⁸ The result of this legacy has been ongoing prejudice and racism, cultural suppression and inequitable access to resources.¹¹ The outcomes have been devastating for Māori: the loss of resources, economic power, cultural identity, self-determination and disconnection from places of spiritual and cultural importance.^{48,49}

Socioeconomic deprivation does not adequately explain the differences in rates of mental illness between Māori and non-Māori.^{39,42,50} Racism, and loss of cultural identity and connection to whānau and land play critical roles in the development of mental distress, mental illness and suicide.³⁹ New Zealand data indicate that Māori are more likely to experience interpersonal racism than non-Māori in New Zealand.^{42,50} Furthermore, a dose response relationship has been found between exposure to racism and measures of mental health^{42,50} and socioeconomically deprived people have been shown to experience worse outcomes from racism.⁴⁵

Unfortunately, Māori are frequently viewed as being the problem, as opposed to Māori inequities being viewed as a consequence of colonial systems that were set up based on a Pākehā worldview. In fact, colonisation can be seen in the establishment and maintenance of systems that privilege non-Māori across all government sectors, including education, justice, health and welfare.^{11,49} Treatment of Māori by government institutions has ranged from deliberate exploitation, such as land and resource acquisition, through to neglect and more subtle breaches of the te Tiriti o Waitangi, such as the failure of the health and education systems to support Māori.^{11,51}

Mental health data collection

The national mental health dataset is called PRIMHD (Programme for the Integration of Mental Health Data). PRIMHD collects secondary service data on the diagnoses and treatment of people with the most severe mental illness in our communities. However, there is a lack of any (non-NGO) primary care mental health data. This is despite New Zealand research indicating that general

ⁱⁱⁱ L - lesbian; G - gay; B - bisexual; T - transexual; I - intersex; Q - queer; A - asexual, agender, aromantic; + - other diverse sexual orientations and gender identities

practitioners identified approximately half of all their patients as having psychological problems in the past year, of which around one in ten were moderate or severe.⁵²

The quality and type of data in PRIMHD is also a concern. Some important data fields are substantially missing and/or of low quality, and there is substantial variation between DHBs. For example, the proportion of clients who have a current diagnosis recorded varies between DHBs, from as low as 15% of clients to as high as 85%.⁵³ And while there are moves to include social outcomes data in PRIMHD, currently the information captured is of low quality.

Despite these issues, PRIMHD does have data that could provide many insights into secondary mental health services. Unfortunately, it is an under-utilised resource and there is limited reporting of the activities and outcomes of mental health services.

Te Rau Hinengaro, the most recent national mental health survey, was conducted in 2006 and used a clinical interview (CIDI 3.0) to estimate the prevalence of mental health conditions in different population groups. Mental wellbeing questions have been included in the New Zealand Health Survey, the Mental Health Monitor, and the New Zealand General Social Survey. However, these surveys do not provide sufficient information to estimate the prevalence of mental health conditions and therefore to understand the degree of unmet need. A repeat of Te Rau Hinengaro is needed, with consideration of improvements to measure the prevalence of low prevalence conditions and to more thoroughly examine differences between groups including differences by sexual orientation, gender identity and disability status.

It is also crucial that we build on current mental health surveillance in New Zealand by obtaining high quality population wellbeing data in the New Zealand Health survey. There is a need to introduce a strengths based measure (for example, the Warwick-Edinburgh mental well-being scale (WEMWBS)^{54,55} or the WHO-5 Wellbeing Index⁵⁶) to assess and monitor population wellbeing and provide longitudinal data to inform and evaluate population mental health strategies.

Some recommendations:

1. Prioritise and fund mental illness prevention and mental wellbeing promotion, including addressing the determinants of mental health
2. Focus on achieving equitable mental health outcomes
3. Improve the data collection of PRIMHD to include primary care data, better data capture of diagnoses, and primary care data, better data capture of diagnoses, and more holistic and functional outcome measures
4. Support and fund a national mental health and addiction survey
5. Use a strengths-based measure of wellbeing in the national health survey

What could be done better

The NZCPHM contends that a population approach to mental wellbeing will improve the overall mental health status of the New Zealand population.

The essential elements of a population health approach to mental health and wellbeing for New Zealand are that it:⁵⁷

- Focuses on the causes of the causes: the socioeconomic determinants of mental wellbeing and mental ill health

- Emphasises prevention
- Thinks about whole communities and population groups
- Works in partnership with populations
- Is centrally concerned with equity
- Uses evidence to inform policy development and funding
- Recognises the central importance of te Tiriti o Waitangi for population mental health


Noting these elements, the NZCPHM recommends:

1. A population approach to mental wellbeing
2. Prioritising health and equity
3. Investment in early childhood

A population approach to mental wellbeing

A population approach lifts the gaze from the presenting clinical symptoms or illness, and deals with the ‘causes of the causes’. Similar terms for this bigger picture view are ‘upstream factors’, ‘social determinants’ and ‘wider determinants’ of health.

Figure 3. Factors influencing individual onset of a mental health problem/disorder (adapted from Commission on the Social Determinants of Health)⁵⁸



Common popular discourse frequently blames the development of mental illness on both ‘bad genetics’ and ‘bad lifestyle choices’ such as drug and alcohol consumption. However, neither of these ‘bads’ should be viewed in isolation from the environment in which a person lives and has lived in. Alcohol and drug intake are often used as coping mechanisms for significant stress and trauma such as poverty, violence and isolation.⁵⁸

The causes of poor mental health are often intertwined and bidirectional, for example homelessness can cause or exacerbate mental illness and mental illness can contribute to homelessness.^{58,59,60} Any type of trauma and stress, especially when chronic, increases the risk of mental distress and mental illness.⁵⁸ Figure 3 above illustrates how these factors interact and can lead to mental illness.⁵⁸

One of the challenges for policy makers is to move away from individually focused solutions, such as funding more health services. Indeed, history shows that prevention strategies frequently move rapidly from population approaches towards victim blaming and individual interventions focused on addressing behaviour through education – a phenomenon known as ‘lifestyle drift’.⁶¹ Strategies to improve the wellbeing of our communities, reduce mental illness and reduce suicide must include solutions that sit outside of the health system and address upstream factors.^{59,62} Prevention strategies must address all determinants, as shown above in the boxes of Figure 1. These determinants include poverty, employment security, safety and the impact the socio-political landscape, needing action addressing discrimination, racism, gender norms and policy across the welfare, justice, health and education sectors.

A population approach to mental wellbeing utilises ‘proportionate universalism’, where the aim is to move the whole population toward better mental health with greater targeting of resources for people at high risk.^{10, 63,64} This type of approach is crucial as mental distress, in the absence of a diagnosable mental illness, affects a far larger proportion of the population than clinical mental illness and collectively represents a larger burden of morbidity.^{36,63} Proportionate universalism benefits the whole of society and has a greater positive impact societal mental wellbeing, mental illness and suicide prevention than purely targeted approaches.^{10,63,65,66} Proportionate universalism includes strategies at every tier; improving the quality and access to healthcare services, early intervention supports for whānau and families needing support⁷⁴ and addressing societal determinants of mental illness.^{58,60,64,67}

A focus on the ‘causes of the causes’ recognises that determinants of mental wellbeing are often social and economic and therefore amenable to change.^{1,58,59,68} The disparities that are seen in mental health outcomes by ethnicity and by socioeconomic deprivation are also amenable to change.^{1,38,58,59,68} The key message here is that the current rate of mental illness and distress is not fixed; we can improve the situation and reduce mental health service demand and current inequities.

Mental wellbeing is a not only crucial aspect of individual health. It is also fundamental for vibrant, flourishing and resilient communities¹ and is a fundamental pillar of Māori models of health.⁶⁹

We support the view of the Health Quality & Safety Commission’s Mortality Review Committees, which state in their submission to the 2018 Mental Health Inquiry that: “It is critical that all services increase awareness of treating the whole person; the co-occurrence and interdependence of drug and alcohol abuse, mental health issues, family violence, and poverty must be factored into treatment and prevention policy and practice. Services must work together, and wrap around the

individual, family and whānau. This includes extending awareness of the causes and complexities around mental health issues beyond the mental health and addictions system.”

Prioritising Māori mental health and equity

At a population level, equity of mental health outcomes must be prioritised. Inequities arise not only through unequal determinants of health, but also through unequal access to care and quality of health care itself.⁷⁰

Providing services according to need will mean that our most vulnerable populations are able to have a fair chance of care and recovery. High quality services must be able to adapt their care to suit the people they care for. This means a focus on co-design with consumers and families/whānau, cultural competence, and using data in service design and monitoring to be able to see what is working and what isn't working for different populations.⁷¹ Leadership for equity is paramount; creating flexible services requires commitment and advocacy to enable 'difference' to be celebrated.

Racism and discrimination are key drivers of mental illness. Racism and the impacts of colonisation have had, and continue to have, a significant impact on the development of mental illness. Quality services must also eliminate current institutional racism.⁴²

For Māori, as Treaty partners, quality service design includes the opportunity to attend kaupapa Māori services that centralise Te Ao Māori concepts.

The Puahou plan, named after the 'Five Fingers' of the Puahou tree, recommends five strategies for Māori mental health: the enhancement of a secure cultural identity, active Māori participation in society and in the economy, the alignment of health services to coincide with Māori realities, accelerated workforce development, and greater Māori autonomy and control.⁷² Underlying these strategies are themes of Māori-centred values and beliefs, intersectoral collaboration, positive Māori development and the need to link health with the broader arenas of cultural enhancement and socio-economic advancement.

Prioritising infant and child mental health

Adult mental illness should be viewed as an outcome of child and adolescent mental distress and mental illness, and infant and child mental health a consequence of early childhood environment.^{1,51,58,73} Epidemiologic studies indicate that approximately half of all mental illness experienced begins by the mid-teenage years and three quarters of mental illness has manifested by the mid-20s.⁵¹ Onset of mental illness in later years is typically a secondary condition, and severe disorders usually begin with less severe presentations that go untreated, usually with an onset in childhood or adolescence.⁵¹

Maternal mental health is another area to invest, so as to improve the health and wellbeing of young children. Maternal mental health problems are often seen as an adult mental health issue. However, given the effects poor maternal mental health can have on attachment and a mother's ability to care for and sensitively nurture a child, maternal mental health needs to be viewed as a part of the investment in infant and child mental health and as part of a focus on the first 1000 days of life.^{73,74}

It is crucial that we invest in children and caregivers to build a healthy and flourishing society. There is strong evidence that deprivation and toxic stresses in early life leads to poorer physical and mental health outcomes, and that poorer mental health outcomes are linked with poorer educational attainment, poverty and material hardship – creating intergenerational cycles of ill health and deprivation.^{58,59,75} It is crucial that we ensure adequate family incomes, paid parental leave and

housing; prioritising, setting targets and investing in the eradication of child poverty; ensuring family-friendly working conditions and adequate pay; supporting and valuing skilled and attentive parenting; and addressing family violence, child maltreatment and tobacco, drug and alcohol-related harm (intrauterine and in the family environment).⁷⁶

Some recommendations:

The New Zealand College of Public Health Medicine advocates for a whole of government approach to mental health and wellbeing. We support a strategy for mental health that prioritises:

1. Addressing the determinants of mental health and wellbeing
2. Improving the mental health and wellbeing of Māori and eliminating inequities
3. Investing in infant, child and youth mental health, in particular the first 1000 days of life

What sort of society would be best for the mental health of all our people

An Aotearoa that supports the wellbeing of all people would be one that:

1. Values equity and diversity
2. Adopts a comprehensive and holistic view of health
3. Includes mental health and wellbeing in all policies
4. Includes the wellbeing of children in all policies
5. Recognises and gives effect to te Tiriti o Waitangi

A holistic view of health – Te Pae Mahutonga

Mental health and wellbeing cannot and should not be separated from other aspects of health, including the health of communities. Te Pae Mahutonga, a Māori model of health promotion (referred to earlier),¹⁸ provides a framework for considering all of the aspects that are required for a healthy, vibrant and resilient community and the strengths in our communities that we should build on. The Appendix to the policy statement lists aspects of a healthy vibrant society, using Te Pae Mahutonga framework. To improve mental health and wellbeing, investment is required at every level.

Physical health

Physical and mental health are inextricably linked, and mental wellbeing is a fundamental component of good health.⁵⁹ Yet the two (physical and mental health) are treated separately in our health care system. There is a strong bidirectional relationship between physical and mental health; poor physical health is linked to worse mental health and mental illness negatively impacts on physical health.^{1,77,78,79} Lack of mental wellbeing underpins many physical diseases, unhealthy lifestyles and social inequalities in health.⁵⁹

A healthy society supports and enables people to live long and healthy lives. Our current situation is far from this, particularly for people with experience of mental illness. Serious mental illness is associated with high levels of physical morbidity and reduced life expectancy.^{35,77,78} There are multiple causes of reduced life expectancy and poor health for people with mental illness – many of which are preventable. These causes are numerous and include the impact of psychiatric medication; risk factor behaviours such as smoking; poorer access to health services and ‘diagnostic overshadowing’ of physical health problems; higher rates of injury, violence and suicide; and the

impact of socioeconomic factors that disproportionately affect people with mental illness, such as poverty and poor-quality housing or homelessness. In New Zealand, people using specialist mental health services are twice as likely to die before the age of 65 compared to the general population, and most premature deaths are due to 'natural causes', namely cancer and cardiovascular disease.⁴⁷

Internationally there is evidence that the gap in life expectancy between those with serious mental illness and those without is increasing, as public health and clinical advances disproportionately benefit those without mental illness.⁸⁰ In New Zealand it is estimated that one third of cigarettes consumed in New Zealand in 2008 were consumed by people with mental illness,⁸¹ indicating that public health's success in reducing smoking has not been spread equitably through the population.

Action on the physical health of people with serious mental illness needs to build on the successes of the Equally Well collaboration.⁸² The NZCPHM has endorsed specific calls for action to improve physical health outcomes for New Zealanders who experience mental illness and/or addiction.²⁹

Whānau and community

The health of the individual cannot be separated from the health of the whānau/family. For mental health in particular, connectedness is vital. Isolation and loneliness are known to both cause, and be exacerbated by mental ill health.^{83,84} By contrast, connected whānau/families are protective and support positive mental health outcomes.

People with mental illness and mental distress frequently face stigma and discrimination.^{44,85,86} Discrimination of people with mental illness can have multiple detrimental effects, including unemployment, poor educational attainment, poverty, homelessness and social isolation.^{38,44,85,86,87} These outcomes, and the effects of stigma and discrimination alone, can exacerbate symptoms of mental illness.^{85,86,87} A healthy society accepts mental distress and grief as a normal part of the human experience and supports those with mental illness to return to wellness and to remain connected and included.

A healthy society supports, nurtures and accepts diversity and is built on a foundation of human rights and equity. A healthy society promotes, supports and legislates for policies that support whānau/families to remain connected. Examples include affordable access to reliable public and active transport networks, access to community and recreation spaces, accessibility of contact with whānau/family members who are incarcerated, and income adequacy (particularly for families and the elderly). Multigenerational relationships are also crucial to societal wellbeing; healthy societies value their members across all ages and promote and support multigenerational relationships. A healthy society also acknowledges the rights of its members to health, education, employment and participation in society.

Reducing alcohol related harm

Alcohol is a major contributor to the harm caused by mental illness, addiction, and suicide in New Zealand. Heavy alcohol use increases the risk of depressive disorders, is common among people who have mental health problems, and is strongly associated with suicide.^{88,89} Alcohol was the most common substance of abuse and dependence in the 2006 mental health survey Te Rau Hinengaro, and commonly co-occurs with use of other substances and mental health problems.³⁷ Alcohol was also a contributing factor in the majority of suicides in New Zealand.

Policies to reduce the availability of alcohol, including increasing the price and increasing the purchase age, have been linked to reductions in suicide rates.⁹⁰

New Zealand should accept the recommendations of the 2010 Law Commission Review of Alcohol Policy,⁹¹ including increasing the price of alcohol through minimum pricing, reducing the density of alcohol outlets, increasing the purchase age, and restricting alcohol advertising and sponsorship. These approaches appear to have worked well for tobacco and are needed to reduce the harm of alcohol in our society.

Mental health and wellbeing in all policies

Mental health is affected by a broad range of factors outside the health sector, including education, housing, employment, income, transport, and others, needing action across sectors and in all policies to prioritise mental health and wellbeing.

For example, poverty is significantly associated with the development of mental illness – both as a cause of mental illness and because of mental illness.^{1,59} A key driver of this is the impact of financial debt.^{92,93} There is also evidence to suggest that inequality itself may contribute to mental illness through the mechanisms of stigma and social exclusion,^{94,95} and residing in an area of high social deprivation is strongly associated with mental ill health, even after adjusting for household measures of deprivation.⁷⁵ New Zealand data from Te Rau Hinengaro show a strong gradient between mental illness and increasing social deprivation.³⁷ However, strong social welfare supports are reported to be protective⁹⁶ against unemployment and poverty.

‘Health in All Policies’ (HiAP)^{15,16} is about promoting healthy public policy. HiAP is a structured approach to working across sectors and with communities on public policies. It promotes trusting relationships and engages stakeholders to systematically take into account the implications of decisions. HiAP seeks synergies to improve societal goals, population health and equity.¹⁵ It is an approach that aims to ‘improve the health of the population through increasing the positive impacts of policy initiatives across all sectors of government and at the same time contributing to the achievement of other sectors’ core goals’.⁹⁷

Mental health in all policies approaches aim to promote population mental health and wellbeing by initiating and facilitating action within different non-health public policy areas. The European Commission Framework for Action on Mental Health and Wellbeing includes mental health in all policies as a priority area and the incorporating of mental health into policies at all levels as a specific action.

Tools for a ‘mental health in all policies’ approach are ‘Health Impact Assessments’ and the ‘Health Equity Assessment Tool’ (HEAT). Health Impact Assessments are a vital for assessing the intended and unintended consequences of policy on health and wellbeing outcomes. However, there is a lack of guidance for mental health impact assessments that incorporate the New Zealand context; namely the importance of te Tiriti o Waitangi and the impact of colonisation in our history. Furthermore, the HEAT tool is a useful and practical tool that needs to be routinely used by policy makers to ensure health equity is a key focus of health policies. The UK Mental Well-being Impact Assessment toolkit could be useful model to adapt to the New Zealand context.⁹⁷

The WHO and the international health promotion movement provide important approaches and tools to help embed a wellbeing and equity focus throughout public policy.^{96,99,100}

Children in all policies

The first 1000 days of life (from conception until approximately two years old) is a crucial period of development.^{73,101,102} Toxic stress during the first 1000 days of life, such as neglect, abuse, or

caregivers who are unable to be emotionally available or nurturing, is associated with worse mental health outcomes throughout life.^{58,59,73,101} A growing body of literature links exposure to adverse events in early childhood with increasing vulnerability to mental illness, more than when exposed to the same adverse events at older ages.^{75,101}

For emotional and cognitive development children require “warm, reliable and appropriately responsive care”.⁷⁴ Toxic stress as a young child impacts one’s ability to regulate behaviour and emotions,⁷³ and someone’s emotional health as a child is one of the strongest predictors of mental wellbeing in adulthood.⁶⁷ The presence of attentive and caring parents is protective against other forms of stress for young children.⁷⁴ New Zealand data indicate that connection to whānau and the belief that one’s family are caring and supportive is a protective factor against suicidality for Māori rangatahi.¹⁰³ Social and economic stresses, including poverty and housing insecurity, and their own mental health concerns, pose barriers to nurturing and responsive parenting.^{58,59,60,74,101} Exposure to high levels of prenatal alcohol is another cause of toxic stress on early development. High foetal alcohol exposure is associated with high levels of impulsivity and increased levels of comorbid mental illness.^{104,105,106}

A focus on mental health and wellbeing in all policies therefore requires a focus on children in all policies. There is currently a growing focus on children at the policy level in New Zealand, with a Minister for Children, a child poverty reduction unit and a child wellbeing unit within the Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet, and a child wellbeing strategy being developed. And there have been improvements in primary care affordability, paid parental leave, Whānau Ora services, and the legal protections from assault. However, Aotearoa New Zealand still fares worse in child wellbeing compared to most other advanced economies, and continues to have high rates of childhood poverty, housing problems, preventable diseases, youth suicide, mental health disorders, and violence to children.¹⁰⁷

An approach such as that taken in Sweden, where a system of child-friendly public policy has been created and a ‘children in all policies’ approach structurally embedded, offers a practical example of what is possible. Crucial elements will be to build a culture of prioritising children throughout the policy development and decision-making process, and to ingrain in society the idea that it is important for a country to prioritise the care of its children.

Te Tiriti o Waitangi

Colonisation has led to poor mental health outcomes for Māori in Aotearoa.^{11,49,108} Māori experience worse mental health than non-Māori populations through multiple mechanisms, including racism,^{39,42,50,70} loss of cultural identity and access to language and cultural heritage⁴⁹ and the impacts of poverty and social deprivation.^{49,70,108,109}

To ensure a healthy and vibrant society we firstly need to acknowledge the disparities in mental health outcomes for Māori as unjust and amenable to change.¹¹ Improving Māori mental health outcomes and eliminated inequities requires an approach that addresses these drivers of poor mental health for Māori, supports the development of strengths and capacity building in Māori communities and engages Māori communities to co-design services and solutions across the health, social, education and justice sectors.

Some recommendations:

Key areas for action include:

- Integrating physical and mental health care
- Policy action to increase the price and reduce the availability of alcohol
- Ensuring that the clinical and public health sectors are monitoring equity of outcomes for people with serious mental illness
- Developing a New Zealand based guidance document for mental wellbeing impact assessments
- Prioritising children and in particular the first 1000 days of life, including by valuing and supporting the role of parents
- Supporting Māori communities: social connection, cultural identity, eliminating material poverty and institutional racism

Mental health in the practice of public health medicine, including resources

In the United Kingdom, the Faculty of Public Health (FPH)'s commissioned 2016 report 'Better Mental Health for All'^{1,28,30} promotes a public health approach to mental wellbeing and the primary prevention of mental illness, and is a call to action for public health practitioners to develop and use knowledge and skills in public mental health.

The FPH notes how mental health and physical health should be treated with 'parity of esteem'; to achieve such parity needs enhanced knowledge of and skills in the mental health components of public health practice.²⁸

The Faculty's complementary 'Better Mental Health for All' resource²⁸ provides further practical resources. This includes important commentary on the UK Chief Medical Officer's Annual Report 2013,⁶ itself an extensive statement on public mental health priorities (the epidemiology of public mental health, the quality of evidence, possible future innovations in science and technology, the economic case for good mental health – and both treating mental health as equal to physical health and focusing on the needs and safety of people with mental illness). The Faculty in turn has welcomed many features in the report⁶ but also reflects on limitations and unresolved issues, described online.³¹

The whole 'Better Mental Health for All' resource²⁸ also provides complementary resources covering *inter alia*: Why public mental health matters; A good start in life; Concepts of Mental and Social Wellbeing; Relationship with physical health and healthy lifestyles; Measurement of mental health, outcomes and key sources of data; and The economic case for better mental health.^{32,33}

Key Recommendations

The NZCPHM calls for action

1. Addressing current problems by:
 - Prioritising and funding mental illness prevention and mental wellbeing promotion, including addressing the determinants of mental health
 - Focusing on achieving equitable mental health outcomes

- Improving the data collection of the Programme for the Integration of Mental Health Data (PRIMHD) to include primary care data, better data capture of diagnoses, and more holistic and functional outcome measures
 - Supporting and funding a national mental health and addiction survey
2. Taking a public health approach to mental health by:
- Addressing the determinants of mental health and wellbeing
 - Improving the mental health and wellbeing of Māori and eliminating inequities
 - Investing in early life: infant, child and youth mental health
 - Using evidence to inform policies and funding decisions
3. Building a society that:
- Adopts a comprehensive and holistic view of health
 - Implements strong policy to reduce alcohol related harm
 - Includes mental health and wellbeing in all policies
 - Includes the wellbeing of children in all policies
 - Recognises and gives effect to te Tiriti o Waitangi

The NZCPHM acknowledges and supports the overall public mental health strategic policy directions in New Zealand of the former Mental Health Commission and the national mental health service development plan^{3,4} and overseas with the UK Royal College of Psychiatrists' position statement on public mental health.⁵ The NZCPHM also supports much of the content of the UK Faculty of Public Health report.¹ The NZCPHM further notes both the UK Chief Medical Officer's Annual Report 2013,⁶ and the FPH's reflections on that report,³¹ and supports both sets of views^{6,31} where in common.

Links with other NZCPHM policies

Health Equity

First 1000 days of life

Child Poverty and Health

Māori Health

Pacific People's Health

Alcohol

Housing

Physical Activity and Health

Transport

Climate change

Tobacco Control

Acknowledgement

This policy statement was developed by the NZCPHM Policy Committee, NZCPHM members and staff. Authorship or review is recorded in the list of policy statement main authors on the College's Policy Statements webpage at <https://www.nzcphm.org.nz/policy-publications>.

References and further information

1. Better Mental Health for All: A public health approach to mental health improvement. London: Faculty of Public Health and Mental Health Foundation, 2016. (<https://www.fph.org.uk/media/1915/better-mh-for-all-web.pdf>)
2. Mental Health Commission. Blueprint II Improving mental health and wellbeing for all New Zealanders: How things need to be. Wellington: Mental Health Commission, 2012. (<https://www.hdc.org.nz/media/1075/blueprint-ii-how-things-need-to-be.pdf>)
3. Mental Health Commission. Blueprint II Improving mental health and wellbeing for all New Zealanders: Making things happen. Wellington: Mental Health Commission, 2012. (<https://www.mentalhealth.org.nz/assets/ResourceFinder/mhc3722-making-change-happen-web-pdf.pdf>)
4. Ministry of Health. Rising to the Challenge: The Mental Health and Addiction Service Development Plan 2012–2017. Wellington: Ministry of Health, 2012. (<http://www.health.govt.nz/our-work/mental-health-and-addictions/rising-challenge>)
5. Royal College of Psychiatrists. No health without public mental health: the case for action. Position Statement PS4/2010. London: Royal College of Psychiatrists, 2010. (<https://www.rcpsych.ac.uk/pdf/Position%20Statement%204%20website.pdf>)
6. Depart of Health. Annual Report of the Chief Medical Officer 2013. Public Mental Health Priorities: Investing in the Evidence. London: Department of Health, 2014. (<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/chief-medical-officer-cmo-annual-report-public-mental-health>, https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/413196/CMO_web_doc.pdf)
7. World Health Organization. Health Promotion Glossary. Geneva: World Health Organization, 1998. (<http://www.who.int/healthpromotion/about/HPR%20Glossary%201998.pdf?ua=1>)
8. New Zealand Medical Association. Consensus statement on the role of the doctor in New Zealand. NZMA Position Statement. Wellington: NZMA, 2011. (https://www.nzma.org.nz/_data/assets/pdf_file/0006/16980/Consensus-statement-on-the-role-of-the-doctor-in-New-Zealand-November-2011.pdf)
9. Gluckman P. Enhancing evidence-informed policy making: a report by the Prime Minister's Chief Science Advisor. Wellington: Office of the Prime Minister's Chief Science Advisor, 2017. (<https://www.pmcsa.org.nz/wp-content/uploads/17-07-07-Enhancing-evidence-informed-policy-making.pdf>)
10. New Zealand College of Public Health Medicine / New Zealand Medical Association. NZCPHM Policy Statement on Health Equity (adopting the New Zealand Medical Association Position Statement on Health Equity 2011). Wellington: NZCPHM, 2016. (https://www.nzcphm.org.nz/media/58923/2016_11_17_nzcphm_health_equity_policy_statement.pdf)
11. New Zealand College of Public Health Medicine. NZCPHM Policy Statement on Māori Health. Wellington: NZCPHM, 2015. (https://www.nzcphm.org.nz/media/89786/2015_11_30_m_ori_health_policy_statement.pdf)
12. UN General Assembly. Transforming our world: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. New York: United Nations, 2015.

<https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/post2015/transformingourworld>,
<https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/sustainable-development-goals/>)

13. World Health Organization. The Ottawa Charter for Health Promotion. First International Conference on Health Promotion, Ottawa, 21 November 1986.
(<http://www.who.int/healthpromotion/conferences/previous/ottawa/en/index1.html>)
14. Bangkok Charter for Health Promotion in a globalised world. 6th Global Conference on Health Promotion, Bangkok, Thailand, August 2005 [internet].
(http://www.who.int/healthpromotion/conferences/6gchp/hpr_050829_%20BCHP.pdf)
15. World Health Organization. Health in All Policies (HiAP) framework for country action. Geneva: WHO, 2014. (<http://www.who.int/healthpromotion/frameworkforcountryaction/en/>)
16. Adelaide Statement II: Outcome Statement from the 2017 International Conference Health in All Policies: Progressing the Sustainable Development Goals. Adelaide: World Health Organization & Government of South Australia, 2017. (http://www.who.int/social_determinants/SDH-adelaide-statement-2017.pdf)
17. Commission on Social Determinants of Health (CSDH). Closing the gap in a generation: health equity through action on the social determinants of health. Final Report of the. Geneva: CSDH, World Health Organization, 2008.
(http://www.who.int/social_determinants/final_report/csdh_finalreport_2008.pdf)
18. Durie M, Ministry of Health. Māori health models – Te Pae Mahutonga [internet]. 2017.
(<https://www.health.govt.nz/our-work/populations/maori-health/maori-health-models/maori-health-models-te-pae-mahutonga>)
Durie, M. Te Pae Māhutonga: a model for Māori health promotion. Health Promotion Forum of New Zealand 1999:Newsletter 49. (<https://www.cph.co.nz/wp-content/uploads/TePaeMahutonga.pdf>)
Community and Public Health, Canterbury District Health Board. Using the Te Pae Māhutonga framework in public health [internet]. (<https://www.cph.co.nz/about-us/te-pae-mahutonga/>)
19. Barton H, Grant M. A health map for the local human habitat. J R Soc Promot Health. 2006;126(6):252-3. (http://eprints.uwe.ac.uk/7863/2/The_health_map_2006_JRSH_article_-_post_print.pdf)
20. Raworth K. A Doughnut for the Anthropocene: humanity's compass in the 21st century. Lancet Planet Health. 2017;1(2):e48-e49.
(<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2542519617300281>). Supplementary appendix at <https://ars.els-cdn.com/content/image/1-s2.0-S2542519617300281-mmc1.pdf>.
21. Ghebreyesus TA. Health is a fundamental human right: Human Rights Day 2017. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2017. (<http://www.who.int/mediacentre/news/statements/fundamental-human-right/en/>)
22. Charlson FJ, Baxter AJ, Dua T, Degenhardt L, Whiteford HA, Vos T, Murray CJ, Vos T, Lozano R, Naghavi M, Flaxman AD, et al. Disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) for 291 diseases and injuries in 21 regions, 1990-2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet. 2012;380(9859):2197-223. Erratum in: Lancet 2011;381(9867):628.
(<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0140673612616894>)
23. Vigo D, Thornicroft G, Atun R. Estimating the true global burden of mental illness. Lancet Psychiatry. 2016;3(2):171-8. (<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2215036615005052>)
24. Oakley Browne M, Wells J, Scott K, (eds). Te Rau Hinengaro: The New Zealand Mental Health Survey. Wellington, New Zealand: Ministry of Health; 2006.
(<https://www.health.govt.nz/system/files/documents/publications/mental-health-survey.pdf>)
25. Ministry of Health. Office of the Director of Mental Health Annual Report 2015. Wellington: Ministry of Health, 2016. (<http://www.health.govt.nz/publication/office-director-mental-health-annual-report-2015>)

26. Ministry of Health. Health Loss in New Zealand 1990–2013: A report from the New Zealand Burden of Diseases, Injuries and Risk Factors Study. Wellington: Ministry of Health, 2016. (<http://www.health.govt.nz/publication/health-loss-new-zealand-1990-2013>)
27. Baxter J. Māori mental health needs profile. A review of the evidence. Palmerston North: Te Rau Matatini, 2008. (<https://www.mentalhealth.org.nz/assets/ResourceFinder/Maori-Mental-Health-Need-Profile-full.pdf>)
28. Faculty of Public Health (UK). Better Mental Health for All webpage (<https://www.fph.org.uk/policy-campaigns/special-interest-groups/special-interest-groups-list/public-mental-health-special-interest-group/better-mental-health-for-all/>)
29. Te Pou. Equally Well: Take action to improve physical health outcomes for New Zealanders who experience mental illness and/or addiction. Auckland: Te Pou, 2014. (<https://www.tepou.co.nz/uploads/files/resource-assets/equally-well-consensus-position-paper-september-2014.pdf>)
30. Faculty of Public Health (UK). Parenting one way of improving mental health for everyone. FPH media statement, 15 June 2016. ([outlined at at https://www.bbc.com/news/uk-36539279](https://www.bbc.com/news/uk-36539279))
31. Faculty of Public Health. Reflections on the Annual Report of the Chief Medical Officer 2013 Public Mental Health Priorities: Investing in the Evidence. (undated). (https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/413196/CMO_web_doc.pdf)
32. Friedli L, Parsonage M. Promoting mental health and preventing mental illness: the economic case for investment in Wales. All Wales Mental Health Promotion Network, 2009. ([http://www.publicmentalhealth.org/Documents/749/Promoting%20Mental%20Health%20Report%20\(English\).pdf](http://www.publicmentalhealth.org/Documents/749/Promoting%20Mental%20Health%20Report%20(English).pdf))
33. Faculty of Public Health. The economic case webpage. (<https://www.fph.org.uk/policy-campaigns/special-interest-groups/special-interest-groups-list/public-mental-health-special-interest-group/better-mental-health-for-all/the-economic-case/>)
34. Gluckman P. The psychosocial consequences of the Canterbury earthquakes A briefing paper. 2011. (<https://www.cph.co.nz/wp-content/uploads/chcheqbriefingpsychosocialeffects.pdf>)
35. Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists. The economic cost of serious mental illness and comorbidities in Australia and New Zealand. 2016. (<https://www.ranzcp.org/Files/Publications/RANZCP-Serious-Mental-Illness.aspx>)
36. Kvalsvig A. Wellbeing and mental distress in Aotearoa New Zealand: Snapshot 2016. 2018. (<https://www.hpa.org.nz/sites/default/files/Wellbeing-And-Mental-Distress-Snapshot-2016-Final-FEB2018.PDF>)
37. Oakley Browne MA, Wells JE, Scott KM, (eds). Te Rau Hinengaro - The New Zealand Mental Health Survey. 2006. (<https://www.health.govt.nz/system/files/documents/publications/mental-health-survey.pdf>)
38. Corrigan PW, Morris SB, Michaels PJ, Rafacz JD, Rüsçh N. Challenging the public stigma of mental illness: a meta-analysis of outcome studies. *Psychiat Serv* 2012;63(10):963-73. (<https://ps.psychiatryonline.org/doi/full/10.1176/appi.ps.201100529>)
39. Harris RB, Stanley J, Cormack DM. Racism and health in New Zealand: Prevalence over time and associations between recent experience of racism and health and wellbeing measures using national survey data. *PLOS ONE* 2018;13(5):e0196476. (<https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0196476>)
40. Ministry of Health. Major Causes of Death. 2015 [internet]. (<https://www.health.govt.nz/our-work/populations/maori-health/tatau-kahukura-maori-health-statistics/nga-mana-hauora-tutohu-health-status-indicators/major-causes-death>.)

41. Meyer IH. Prejudice and discrimination as social stressors. In: Meyer IH, Northridge ME (Eds.). *The health of sexual minorities: Public health perspectives on lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender populations* New York: Springer, 2007. pp.242-67.
42. Harris R, Tobias M, Jeffreys M, Waldegrave K, Karlsen S, Nazroo J. Racism and health: the relationship between experience of racial discrimination and health in New Zealand. *Soc Sci Med* 2006;63(6):1428-41.
43. Peterson D, Pere L, Sheehan N, Surgenor G. Experiences of mental health discrimination in New Zealand. *Health & social care in the community* 2007;15(1):18-25.
44. Sharac J, Mccrone P, Clement S, Thornicroft G. The economic impact of mental health stigma and discrimination: a systematic review. *Epidemiol Psychiatr Soc* 2010;19(03):223-32.
45. Schmitt MT, Branscombe NR, Postmes T, Garcia A. The consequences of perceived discrimination for psychological well-being: A meta-analytic review. *Psychol Bull* 2014;140(4):921.
46. Te Pou o Te Whakaaro Nui. *Equally Well: Take action to improve physical health outcomes for New Zealanders who experience mental illness and/or addiction. A consensus position paper.* Auckland: Te Pou, 2014. (<https://www.tepou.co.nz/resources/equally-well-consensus-position-paper/546>)
47. Cunningham R, Sarfati D, Peterson D, Stanley J, Collings S. Premature mortality in adults using New Zealand psychiatric services. *N Z Med J.* 2014;127(1394).
48. Durie M. *Nga Tau Hekenga: Depopulation, Disease and Dispossession.* Whaiora: Māori health development. 2nd ed. Auckland: Oxford University Press, 1998. pp.26-40.
49. Reid P, Robson B. *Understanding Health Inequities.* In: Robson B, Harris R, editors. *Maori Standards of Health IV: A study of the years 2000-2005.* 1st ed. Wellington, NZ: Te Rōpū Rangahau Hauora a Eru Pōmare; 2007. pp.3-10.
50. Harris R, Tobias M, Jeffreys M, Waldegrave K, Karlsen S, Nazroo J. Effects of self-reported racial discrimination and deprivation on Māori health and inequalities in New Zealand: cross-sectional study. *Lancet* 2006;367(9527):2005-9.
51. Kessler RC, Amminger GP, Aguilar-Gaxiola S, Alonso J, Lee S, Ustun TB. Age of onset of mental disorders: a review of recent literature. *Curr Opin Psychiatry* 2007;20(4):359-64.
52. Bushnell J, McLeod D, Dowell A, Salmond C, Ramage S, Collings S, et al. The nature and prevalence of psychological problems in New Zealand primary healthcare: a report on Mental Health and General Practice Investigation (MaGPIe). 2003.
53. Stace H. PRIMHD Classification – Summary and Metadata. 2017.
54. Stewart-Brown SL, Platt S, Tennant A, Maheswaran H, Parkinson J, Weich S, et al. The Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Well-being Scale (WEMWBS): a valid and reliable tool for measuring mental well-being in diverse populations and projects. *J Epidemiol Comm Health* 2011;65(Suppl 2):A38-A39.
55. Tennant R, Hiller L, Fishwick R, Platt S, Joseph S, Weich S, et al. The Warwick-Edinburgh mental well-being scale (WEMWBS): development and UK validation. *Health Qual Life Outcomes.* 2007;5(1):63. (<https://hqlo.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1477-7525-5-63>)
56. Topp CW, Ostergaard SD, Sondergaard S, Bech P. The WHO-5 Well-Being Index: a systematic review of the literature. *Psychother Psychosom* 2015;84(3):167-76.
57. *The Perth Charter for the Promotion of Mental Health and Wellbeing: An outcome of the Seventh World Conference on the Promotion of Mental Health and the Prevention of Mental and Behavioural Disorders;* 2012. (<https://www.rsph.org.uk/about-us/news/perth-charter-for-the-promotion-of-mental-health-and-wellbeing.html>, https://thl.fi/documents/974282/1449788/perth+charter+mental_health_and_wellbeing.pdf/50c5709a-7bcb-4827-ad24-8654cbee5dc9)

58. Fisher M, Baum F. The social determinants of mental health: implications for research and health promotion. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 2010;44(12):1057-63.
59. Allen J, Balfour R, Bell R, Marmot M. Social determinants of mental health. *Int Rev Psychiatry*. 2014;26(4):392-407. (<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3109/09540261.2014.928270>)
60. Sederer LI. The Social Determinants of Mental Health. *Psychiatric Services* 2016;67(2):234-5.
61. Carey G, Malbon E, Crammond B, Pescud M, Baker P. Can the sociology of social problems help us to understand and manage 'lifestyle drift'? *Health Promot Internation* 2016;32(4):755-61.
62. Ryan CJ. Suicide explained! *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 2015;49(1):83-4.
63. Pitman A, Caine E. The role of the high-risk approach in suicide prevention. *Br J Psychiatry* 2012;201(3):175-7.
64. The World Health Organization. Preventing suicide: A global imperative. Geneva: WHO, 2014.
65. Sara GE. Mental disorder and suicide: a faulty connection, or a faulty argument? *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 2015;49(1):84-6.
66. Li Z, Page A, Martin G, Taylor R. Attributable risk of psychiatric and socio-economic factors for suicide from individual-level, population-based studies: a systematic review. *Soc Sci Med* 2011;72(4):608-16.
67. Layard R, Clark AE, Cornaglia F, Powdthavee N, Vernoit J. What predicts a successful life? A life-course model of well-being. *The Economic Journal* 2014;124(580):F720-F738.
68. Barry MM. Addressing the determinants of positive mental health: concepts, evidence and practice. *Int J Mental Health Promotion* 2009;11(3):4-17.
69. Durie M. Whaioira: Māori health development. Auckland: Oxford University Press, 1994.
70. Jones CP. Systems of power, axes of inequity: parallels, intersections, braiding the strands. *Med Care* 2014;52(10 Suppl 3): S71-5.
71. Poynter M, Hamblin R, Shuker C, Cincotta J. Quality improvement: no quality without equity? Wellington: Health Safety & Quality Commission, 2017. (https://www.hqsc.govt.nz/assets/Other-Topics/Equity/Quality_improvement_-_no_quality_without_equity.pdf)
72. Durie M. Puahou: A five-part plan for improving Maori mental health. *He Pukenga Korero* 1998;3:61-70.
73. Mark T. Infant Mental Health in the next decade: A call for action. *Infant Ment Health J*. 2015;36(6):538-41.
74. Thompson RA. Social-Emotional Development in the First Three Years. 2018.
75. Manseau MW. Economic Inequality and Poverty as Social Determinants of Mental Health. *Psychiatric Annals* 2014;44(1):32-8.
76. New Zealand College of Public Health Medicine. NZCPHM Policy Statement on the First 1000 Days of Life. Wellington: NZCPHM, 2017. (https://www.nzcpmh.org.nz/media/64578/2017_11_15_nzcpmh_first_1000_days_of_life_reviewed_2017.pdf)
77. Lawrence D, Hancock KJ, Kisely S. The gap in life expectancy from preventable physical illness in psychiatric patients in Western Australia: retrospective analysis of population based registers. *BMJ* 2013;346:f2539.
78. Chang C, Hayes RD, Perera G, Broadbent MT, Fernandes AC, Lee WE, et al. Life expectancy at birth for people with serious mental illness and other major disorders from a secondary mental health care case register in London. *PloS One* 2011;6(5):e19590.
79. Thornicroft G. Physical health disparities and mental illness: the scandal of premature mortality. *Br J Psychiatry* 2011 Dec;199(6):441-2.

80. Doherty AM, Gaughran F. The interface of physical and mental health. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 2014;49(5):673-82.
81. Tobias M, Templeton R, Collings S. How much do mental disorders contribute to New Zealand's tobacco epidemic? *Tob Control* 2008;17(5):347-50.
82. Te Pou o Te Whakaaro Nui. Equally Well: Physical Health. May 2018.
(<https://www.tepou.co.nz/initiatives/equally-well-physical-health/37>)
83. Courtin E, Knapp M. Social isolation, loneliness and health in old age: a scoping review. *Health Soc Care Community*. 2015;25(3):799-812.
84. Huxley P, Thornicroft G. Social inclusion, social quality and mental illness. *Br J Psychiatry* 2003;182:289-90.
85. Corrigan PW, Watson AC. Understanding the impact of stigma on people with mental illness. *World Psychiatry* 2002;1(1):16-20.
86. El-Badri S, Mellso G. Stigma and quality of life as experienced by people with mental illness. *Australasian Psychiatry* 2007;15(3):195-200.
87. Hatzenbuehler ML, Phelan JC, Link BG. Stigma as a fundamental cause of population health inequalities. *Am J Public Health* 2013;103(5):813-21.
88. Borges G, Bagge C, Cherpitel CJ, Conner K, Orozco R, Rossow I. A meta-analysis of acute use of alcohol and the risk of suicide attempt. *Psychol Med* 2017;47(5):949-957.
89. Bell S, Britton A. An exploration of the dynamic longitudinal relationship between mental health and alcohol consumption: a prospective cohort study. *BMC Medicine* 2014;12(1):91.
90. Xuan Z, Naimi TS, Kaplan MS, Bagge CL, Few LR, Maisto S, et al. Alcohol policies and suicide: a review of the literature. *Alcoholism: clinical and experimental research* 2016;40(10):2043-55.
91. New Zealand Law Commission. Alcohol in our lives: curbing the harm. 2010; Law Commission report no. 114.
92. Jenkins R, Bhugra D, Bebbington P, Brugha T, Farrell M, Coid J, et al. Debt, income and mental disorder in the general population. *Psychol Med* 2008;38(10):1485-93.
93. Jenkins R, Bebbington P, Brugha T, Bhugra D, Farrell M, Coid J, et al. Mental disorder in people with debt in the general population. *Public Health Medicine* 2009;6(3):88-92.
94. Pickett KE, Wilkinson RG. Inequality: an underacknowledged source of mental illness and distress. *Br J Psychiatry* 2010;197(6):426-8.
95. Murali V, Oyebo F. Poverty, social inequality and mental health. *Advances in psychiatric treatment* 2004;10(3):216-224.
96. WHO and the Government of South Australia. The Adelaide Statement on Health in All Policies: moving towards a shared governance for health and well-being. 2010.
(http://www.who.int/social_determinants/hiap_statement_who_sa_final.pdf)
97. Department of Health South Australia. The South Australian Approach to Health in All Policies: background and practical guide. 2011; Version 2.
(<http://www.sahealth.sa.gov.au/wps/wcm/connect/public+content/sa+health+internet/resources/the+south+australian+approach+to+health+in+all+policies+background+and+practical+guide>)
98. Cooke A, Friedli L, Coggins T, Edmonds N, O'Hara K, et al. The Mental Well-being Impact Assessment Toolkit. 2010; 2nd ed.
(<https://www.liverpool.ac.uk/media/livacuk/instituteofpsychology/researchgroups/impact/MentalWellbeingImpactAssessmentToolkit2010.pdf>)
99. Kickbusch I, McCann W, Sherbon T. Adelaide revisited: from healthy public policy to Health in All Policies. *Health Promot Int*. 2008. 23(1):1-4

100. Leppo K, Ollila E, Peña S, Wismar M, Cook S. Lessons for policy-makers. In Leppo K, Ollila E, Peña S, Wismar M, Cook S. Health in all policies. Finnish Ministry of Social Affairs and Health. 2013:325. (http://www.euro.who.int/_data/assets/pdf_file/0007/188809/Health-in-All-Policies-final.pdf)
101. Moore T, West S. Early intervention and the first thousand days of child development. Developing Practice: The Child, Youth and Family Work Journal 2016(44):16.
102. New Zealand College of Public Health Medicine. NZCPHM Policy Statement on the First 1000 Days of Life. Wellington: NZCPHM, 2017. (https://www.nzcphm.org.nz/media/64578/2017_11_15_nzcphm_first_1000_days_of_life_reviewed_2017.pdf)
103. Clark TC, Robinson E, Crengle S, Fleming T, Ameratunga S, Denny SJ, et al. Risk and protective factors for suicide attempt among indigenous Maori youth in New Zealand: The role of family connection. Int J Indig Health 2011;7(1):16.
104. Clarke ME, Gibbard WB. Overview of fetal alcohol spectrum disorders for mental health professionals. Can Child Adolesc Psychiatr Rev 2003;12(3):57-63.
105. Mattson SN, Crocker N, Nguyen TT. Fetal alcohol spectrum disorders: neuropsychological and behavioral features. Neuropsychol Rev 2011;21(2):81-101.
106. Rangmar J, Hjern A, Vinnerljung B, Stromland K, Aronson M, Fahlke C. Psychosocial outcomes of fetal alcohol syndrome in adulthood. Pediatrics 2015;135(1):e52-8.
107. UNICEF Office of Research. Building the future: children and the Sustainable Development Goals in rich countries, 2017; Innocenti Report Card 14. (<https://www.unicef-irc.org/publications/890-building-the-future-children-and-the-sustainable-development-goals-in-rich-countries.html>)
108. King M, Smith A, Gracey M. Indigenous health part 2: the underlying causes of the health gap. Lancet 2009;374(9683):76-85.
109. Berg LD, Kearns RA. Naming as norming: 'race', gender, and the identity politics of naming places in Aotearoa/New Zealand. Environ Plan D 1996 02/01; 2018/05;14(1):99-122.

Adopted by Council: 20 November 2018

Appendix. Te Pae Mahutonga: a health promotion framework¹⁸

Ngā Manukura (community leadership)

Te Mana Whakahaere (autonomy)

Mauriora (cultural identity)

- Tikanga and Māori culture embraced and celebrated
- Purpose and hope
- Culture and identity

Waiora (physical environment)

- Safety
- Warm, dry, safe housing
- The natural environment is flourishing
- The natural environment is nurtured and accessible

Toiora (healthy lifestyles)

- Good physical health
- Safe and satisfying employment and education
- No reliance on or hazardous use of alcohol and drugs

Te Oranga (participation in society)

- Adequate income and no debt
- Secure housing tenure and employment
- Access to employment, education
- Celebration of diversity
- Acceptance and belonging
- Community and connectedness
- Gender equity