



Antimicrobial Stewardship and Infection Control: Limiting the burden of antimicrobial resistance in New Zealand

New Zealand College of Public Health Medicine Policy Statement

Policy statement

The New Zealand College of Public Health Medicine (NZCPHM) recognises that antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is an increasing health threat of significance, both globally and to New Zealand.

The NZCPHM supports antimicrobial stewardship being a national priority, requiring widespread commitment and leadership from all sectors in New Zealand^{1,2} – using a ‘One Health’³ approach that recognises ecosystems and the development of AMR in humans and AMR in other species are linked inextricably.⁴

In line with World Health Organization (WHO) recommendations,^{5,6,7} the NZCPHM calls for New Zealand to have a national plan for AMR that is comprehensive and sufficiently financed. Such planning should incorporate:

- preventing infections;
- improving antimicrobial prescribing and stewardship, in both community and healthcare settings;
- public education;
- national, DHB-level monitoring and surveillance activities;
- suitable regulation of agricultural and veterinary use (and improving stewardship) of antimicrobials;
- a national strategy that links with international efforts; and
- new research to identify the most effective methods to revive and sustain the effectiveness of existing antimicrobial agents.

The NZCPHM also calls for international governance structures, treaties and targets.^{4,8}

The NZCPHM supports work by cross government, multi-agency, multi-sector groups to develop a strategic framework and implementation plan for New Zealand, led jointly by the Ministry of Health (MoH) and Ministry for Primary Industries (MPI) with a commitment to have a national action plan in place by May 2017.⁹

The NZCPHM also supports in general the AMR policies of the New Zealand Veterinary Association (NZVA), The Royal New Zealand College of General Practitioners (RNZCGP), and The Royal Australasian College of Physicians (RACP).^{10,11,12}

Background

The increasing emergence and spread of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is an international health concern and burden on individual countries.^{7,13,14,15,16,17,18} For example, each year in the United States of America (USA) it is estimated that at least two million people become infected with bacteria that are resistant to antibiotics and at least 23,000 people die as a direct result of these infections.¹⁹ AMR is a leading global health issue that “threatens the very core of modern medicine and the sustainability of an effective, global public health response to the enduring threat from infectious diseases”.⁷ Predictions are that, unchecked, by 2050 AMR will cause 10 million deaths globally each year.⁸

Systematic review evidence²⁰ indicates that AMR can result in increased need for second line antibiotics in the community. It can also result in patients needing to spend increased time in hospital, exposing them to further risk of healthcare-acquired infections. In addition, this problem drives up the cost of running taxpayer-funded health systems and imposes financial costs on patients themselves.

Levels of antibiotic consumption are clearly associated with the emergence of resistance, evident in a systematic review of 243 studies by Bell et al.²¹ Further evidence, which includes data from Europe, indicates a strong correlation between the level of antibiotic use and the prevalence of resistance.²² These findings highlight the need to avoid inappropriate use of antimicrobials.

New Zealand (NZ) has traditionally had low rates of AMR compared with many overseas countries, but a progressive increase has occurred recently.¹ Reviews of the growing burden of AMR have noted that resistance to many common antimicrobials is now endemic in NZ, in both community and healthcare settings. Factors contributing to the emergence and spread of antimicrobial-resistant pathogens in NZ include:

- the inappropriate use and overuse of antimicrobials (including over-reliance on broad spectrum antibiotics and excessive use of topical antibiotics²³);
- transmission of resistant organisms in both community and healthcare settings;
- importation of resistant pathogens from areas where multi-drug resistant organisms are endemic;²⁴ and
- environmental and genetic factors that alter the viability of resistant bacteria.^{25,26}

Other NZ researchers have also highlighted the problems around AMR,²⁷ reporting on the relative success of interventions, eg. the antimicrobial stewardship programmes at hospitals such as Auckland City Hospital,²⁸ said to be standard practice in all United Kingdom (UK) hospitals.

The optimal use of antimicrobials is one of the Government’s medicines strategy’s objectives, ie. to minimise the risk of AMR through targeted and appropriate human, veterinary and agricultural use of antimicrobials.²⁹ Jointly, the MoH and MPI are developing a national AMR action plan for a coordinated national response to AMR across the human, animal and agricultural sectors; NZ has made a commitment to the WHO to have a national AMR action plan in place by May 2017.⁹ This national action plan aligns with the WHO’s ‘One Health’ initiative – the integration of human medicine, veterinary medicine and environmental science.³

The NZCPHM recommends and supports activities in the following areas:

1. Preventing infections in community settings

Infections need to be prevented in the first place. This reduces the requirement for the use of antimicrobials and hence the risk that resistance will develop during therapy. Key ways to prevent

transmission include the promotion of basic hygiene (eg. hand^{30,31} and respiratory³² hygiene), safe food preparation and handling, good nutrition,³³ breastfeeding,³⁴ and high immunisation coverage.

Household overcrowding and other hazardous housing conditions are also avoidable causes of hospitalisations in NZ,^{35,36} alongside other 'upstream' drivers of infectious complications such as smoking, obesity/diabetes and socioeconomic deprivation³⁷. NZ has already achieved considerable success in reducing the burden of foodborne campylobacteriosis by effective regulation of contaminated poultry meat³⁸ (albeit there is now alarming emerging resistance in *C. jejuni*³⁹).

2. Travel, border control and prevention at source

Strategies are needed to reduce the transfer of antimicrobial resistant organisms to NZ across international borders.

The role of travel in AMR has been a concern for some time amongst New Zealand's infectious diseases community, including the Australasian Society for Infectious Diseases.^{40,41} Control of AMR is an international issue, given strong epidemiological evidence of resistant strains starting elsewhere then spreading rapidly with travel.^{42,43} There are appreciable health risks from overseas arrivals/returns acquiring resistant organisms in other countries, some with less effective approaches to infection control than in NZ. Such infections may occur in surgical and other specialist health settings, but mere travel to some countries is a risk factor where there is a high prevalence of multi-drug resistant organisms (MDROs) in the community and environment. These latent infections can be imported into NZ hospitals with serious consequences. Controlling such infections has implications for border control, migration, and refugee services.

3. Preventing infections in healthcare settings

Preventing transmission and infection in healthcare settings is essential to controlling the spread of AMR. This is where most of the important resistant pathogens and the mobile genetic elements they contain have disseminated internationally. The main driver of spread is *transmission* rather than the novel development of resistance within an individual patient exposed to antibiotics. While antibiotic exposure in the community almost certainly facilitates transmission and acquisition of resistant clones, community exposure to antibiotics can also generate *de novo* new resistant genes or clones.^{23,44,45}

Arguably, once mobile resistance elements and successful resistant clones have emerged and spread internationally, the important strategic response remaining is to contain spread and prevent infections in vulnerable and compromised patients. Thus, although border control, travel and prevention at source is important (section 2 above), currently the only realistic policy lever is the screening of high risk patients for colonisation in healthcare settings (to then implement special infection control precautions). This includes isolation and screening for multi-drug resistant organisms in patients who have been in contact with a health care system or admitted to hospital whilst traveling overseas.

In-hospital infection prevention and control guidelines are crucial, eg. the US CDC guidelines for carbapenemase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae*⁴⁶ (arguably the near-term biggest AMR threat to NZ). This is in effect to create an infection control 'fire break' around vulnerable patients in healthcare settings. The practice of 'active surveillance' to detect carriage of resistant organisms in healthcare settings is another important tool.

The NZCPHM notes the health care facility- and DHB-level surveillance of The Institute of Environmental Science and Research (ESR)^{47,48} and the infection prevention and control programmes of the Health Quality & Safety Commission (HSQC).⁴⁹

4. Improving antimicrobial prescribing and stewardship in the community

Community dispensing of antibiotics in NZ currently accounts for most human use.⁵⁰ Preventing over-use of antimicrobials in the communityⁱ is important in slowing the development and spread of antibiotic resistant bacteria.⁵¹ Preventing antimicrobial over-use needs commitment by health professionalsⁱ to antimicrobial stewardship; collaboration and coordination; and supporting infrastructure and governance. This includes prescriber and PHO information systems, targets for community consumption of antimicrobials, and feedback, incentives and performance programmes.

A systematic review on reducing primary care antibiotic prescribing for children with respiratory tract infections, reported the most effective interventions target both parents and clinicians during consultations, provide automatic prescribing prompts, and promote clinician leadership in the intervention design.⁵²

Strategies using active clinician education and targeting management of all acute respiratory infections may be of particular value in reducing community-level antibiotic use, according to another systematic review.⁵³ Similarly, another systematic review also indicates how educational interventions can improve prescription and dispensing of antibiotics by clinicians.⁵⁴ More specifically, a systematic review by NZ researchers reported that a delayed prescription is an effective means of reducing antibiotic usage for acute respiratory infections.⁵⁵ The joint Best Practice Advocacy Centre (BPAC)/ UK National Institute for Health Care Excellence (NICE) NZ-contextualised NICE guideline on antibiotics in self-limited respiratory tract infections emphasises not prescribing antibiotics for most patients with colds, sinusitis, coughs and fevers, and otitis media.⁵⁶

5. Antimicrobial use in hospitals

The NZCPHM notes current standards that require NZ hospitals to have a documented policy on antimicrobial use and for the auditing against the relevant NZ Standard (NZS 8134.3:2008).⁵⁷ There is also published relative success reported for the antimicrobial stewardship programme at Auckland City Hospital,²⁸ alongside programmes in other District Health Boards (DHBs).⁵⁸ Recommendations include better monitoring/reporting of antimicrobial consumption in hospitals and regional antimicrobial prescribing guidelines for hospital use.^{12,1}

6. Public education

In addition to what doctors can do, regular multi-media campaigns are important to educate the public about the need for wise use of antibiotics.⁶⁰ Such campaigns have been supported by numerous organisations, including the RNZCGP, the Pharmaceutical Society of New Zealand, New Zealand's Pharmaceutical Management Agency (PHARMAC), and Plunket. The effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of such campaigns should ideally be evaluated to inform their optimal design. Of note is the forthcoming work by NICE in the UK (due in 2016⁶¹) on 'antimicrobial resistance – changing risk-related behaviours in the general population'.

7. National monitoring and surveillance activities for AMR

Effective surveillance of AMR includes:

- screening of patients for certain MDROs (depending on local policy), eg. carbapenemase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* (CPE);
- effective IT systems;

ⁱ Community prescribing includes inpatient Ward discharges to the community, ED and Outpatient prescribing (by specialists, RMOs), private specialist practice (physicians, surgeons etc), primary care GPs, practice nurses, dentists, and midwives.

- testing isolates from a wide range of patients for susceptibility to a range of relevant antimicrobials;
- reporting results to clinicians;
- integrating and including private microbiology laboratories;
- supplementing the data from local laboratories with national data; and
- developing methods to monitor adaptive resistance occurring below clinical thresholds.⁵⁹

Nationally harmonised and coordinated surveillance is essential to understand the magnitude, distribution and impact of resistant organisms and antimicrobial usage, identify emerging resistance and trends, and determine associations between usage and resistance.⁶² Surveillance is needed at local and national levels, and globally,⁶² to formulate local antimicrobial guidelines, inform policy decisions, identify high-priority areas for interventions, monitor the impact of interventions designed to prevent or reduce AMR, and identify long-term trends and emerging threats globally.^{24,63}

The NZCPHM notes the involvement of key NZ agencies in monitoring AMR, particularly ESR.^{47,48,64,65} It also notes MoH surveillance activities such as the use of the Healthcare Associated Infections Governance Group (HAIGG).⁶⁶

Effective surveillance programmes are also needed to monitor for the development of AMR in food-producing animals⁶⁷ in NZ. Such surveillance should occur parallel with systematic monitoring and reporting of antimicrobial use in food-producing animals⁶⁸, and could be modelled on successful programmes^{69,70,71,72,73} like Denmark's DANMAP etc.

8. DHB-level monitoring

Beyond DHBs monitoring of AMR, activities supporting DHB-level monitoring of antimicrobial use are important.^{27,64,74} This is where reporting, eg. per capita antimicrobial usage within each DHB⁴⁸ relative to targets for reductions from present levels of consumption, helps provide impetus for DHBs to address this health threat to their populations.²⁷

9. The need for new antimicrobial development, yet reserving access to those most in need

Research and development of new antimicrobials is needed, prioritising those areas where AMR is increasing.^{8,75,76,77,78} Strategies to minimise the use of new antimicrobials (including vaccines and rapid diagnostic testing⁸) need to be established simultaneously to maintain effectiveness for as long as possible. The corresponding dwindling of the antimicrobial development pipeline, particularly for gram negative organisms, mounts a further hurdle. There is too a need to identify the most effective methods to revive and sustain the effectiveness of existing antimicrobial agents.^{79,80} The challenge is to incentivise new antimicrobial development^{8,75}, without also inadvertently encouraging inappropriate use or reducing access to those most in need. This is no easy task with current research funding models.^{76,78}

10. Agricultural and veterinary use of antimicrobials

The NZCPHM remains concerned over the potential risks of AMR associated with NZ's agricultural and veterinary antimicrobial use,^{67,82} and threats to our ability to regulate their use.⁸⁵ A 'One Health'³ approach is needed, linking the health, veterinary and agriculture sectors.⁷⁸

Veterinary use of antimicrobials (relative to biomass consumptionⁱⁱ) is perhaps 8% that of human use in NZ⁸⁸ and our use of antimicrobials in animals is likely to be low compared with other developed

ⁱⁱ crude antimicrobial usage measured by population correction unit (PCU) viz mg active ingredient per kg biomass^{86,87}, not total tonnage volume of usage. Neither measure assesses the risk of veterinary use to AMR in humans.

countries, at least in terms of crude antimicrobial tonnage compared with crude livestock biomass.^{8,88,90} However, there is little comparative information on how NZ versus other countries' use relates by classes of antimicrobial (including critically important antimicrobials (CIAs) for human health⁸⁹ eg. fluoroquinolones) and the types of livestock, intensification⁹⁴, their trends over time, and parallel incidence of/trends in AMR in animals.^{67,iii} In addition, animals can spread resistance and antibiotics in ways that people generally do not^{91,92} – meaning that it is not only about how much antibiotics are used, but how effectively that use causes the spread of resistant organisms.^{iv}

Although increasing resistance is driven by complex and interconnected factors, growing evidence suggests that large volumes of antibiotics used in agriculture are in themselves an important contributing factor to AMR^{93,94,97} (alongside mode of use and choice of antimicrobial). There is also good evidence from Europe that total usage for any given class is important, correlating closely with resistance rates in animals.⁹⁵ Because most countries have poorly regulated prescribing and dispensing expectations alongside minimal requirements for monitoring and reporting agricultural antibiotic use, it is difficult to obtain accurate data on total volumesⁱⁱⁱ, but they are known to be very large. In countries like the USA and Australia, approximately 70% of all antibiotic use is consumed by livestock.¹⁵

There is increasing use in NZ of 3rd-generation cephalosporins and other CIAs in the veterinary sector.⁶⁸ This usage increases the risks of developing significant AMR in bacteria infecting both food-producing and companion animals.^{24,68} Also concerning is the veterinary use of polymyxins,⁶⁸ given recent plasmid-mediated resistance in food-producing animals⁹⁶ (polymyxins being now absolutely last-line). Likewise there are concerns with the inappropriate or over-use of some antimicrobials, and emerging risks, in the plant sector.^{98,99}

With the use of some antibiotics in food producing animals in NZ (eg. broiler chickens, pigs, dairy cows)⁹⁴ and with intensification,⁹⁴ transparent monitoring of usage is an important start. Such monitoring should be not just in terms of volumes^{68,88,90} but also antimicrobial class and type of livestock.^v

Reducing, refining and replacing¹⁰⁵ antibiotic consumption in agriculture is essential, if insufficient²⁵, to slow the rise in AMR over the long term. Recent^{vi} suggested regulatory approaches have included mandatory food labelling (stating whether antibiotics were used during production)⁹⁵ and zero tolerance rulings on certain types of resistant organisms in retail food, accompanied by regular monitoring programmes.^{103,104} Such approaches, although largely untested to date, accord with informed choice and could potentially help curb AMR worldwide.

ⁱⁱⁱ In NZ, MPI analyses and reports periodically on antibiotic sales for veterinary use, the last being its report for 2009-2011 published in 2013.⁶⁸

^{iv} For example, research in the US indicates that, downwind of CAFOs (concentrated animal feeding operations), resistance genes are detected in the air at many times the concentration as upwind.^{91,92}

^v Just as such transparency is being proposed to monitor therapeutic human use in NZ DHBs, there is a strong argument for similar monitoring of routine non-therapeutic prophylactic/metaphylactic use¹⁰⁵ in food-producing animals; in this regard, the NZVA currently leads a programme to better monitor antimicrobial usage in animals.¹⁰⁰

^{vi} The WHO recommends that the routine use of certain antimicrobial agents as growth promoters in agriculture be rapidly phased out or terminated.¹⁰¹ Evidence from Denmark supports this policy, with AMR substantially reduced following a reduction in antibiotic use for growth promotion.¹⁰² This outcome was achieved through a government ban on the use of particular antibiotics as growth promoters. No antibiotics in NZ are labelled or prescribed for the purposes of growth promotion.¹⁰⁰

Hence, in order to preserve remaining antimicrobials for patients in whom they are absolutely vital – such as the immune-compromised and critically ill⁷⁸ – the capacity to introduce regulations to reduce harmful antibiotic use in the agricultural sector remains important.^{82,105} But also important is the ability to reduce the use of non-clinical agents that cause resistance to clinical agents in both agricultural and urban environments.^{25,99} Such regulation needs to be protected, alongside a strong human health and animal health approach to preventing the emergence of AMR.⁸⁵ This includes ending the non-therapeutic prophylactic/metaphylactic use¹⁰⁵ of antibiotics in animals in NZ as soon as possible^{4,105} (and well ahead of the NZVA’s goal of NZ by 2030 not needing antibiotics for the maintenance of animal health and wellness¹⁰⁶).

More generally beyond NZ, and for some countries in particular, stricter regulation of agricultural use is likely to be an important measure to dampen emergence of new resistance mechanisms. In future years, agricultural antibiotic consumption in North America,¹⁰⁷ India and China has the potential to affect antibiotic resistance rates in NZ healthcare settings. This problem is similar in many ways to other international problems in sustainability that involve the ‘tragedy of the commons’,^{108,109,110} like climate change.⁴ Such problems highlight the need for international cooperation^{78,96} with governance structures, rules and targets⁴ to address these challenges.^{4,122}

11. A national strategy that links with international efforts, with NZ complementary high-level review

The NZCPHM supports the cross government work by multi-agency groups to develop a national strategy, led jointly by the MoH and MPI, committed for May 2017.⁹ This accords with calls in NZ for national strategy, coordination and leadership,^{2,12,114} similar to other OECD countries^{62,63,115,116} and the need for countries to have comprehensive, financed national plans for AMR.^{5,6,18}

The NZCPHM notes the recommendations of the recent UK National Institute for Health Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines on antimicrobial stewardship (August 2015),¹¹⁷ and supports national leadership and efforts at least similar to those of Australia.^{118,119}

The ‘One Health’³ approach, combining the efforts of a broad range of sectors and stakeholders eg. health, veterinary and agriculture, should be applied when developing strategic frameworks to reduce AMR.

To enhance various levels of action underway in NZ in 2016, the NZCPHM recommends complementing these with a comprehensive high-level review encompassing both the human and animal health sectors in NZ. This could be led by the Royal Society and the Prime Ministers Chief Science Advisor (similar to the 2014 review of water fluoridation¹²⁰).

12. International governance

International coordination and collaboration is needed,⁷⁷ with countries individually having comprehensive, financed national plans for AMR,^{5,7} but also international governance.^{4,122} AMR is a global problem, beset by the ‘tragedy of the commons’,^{4,108,109,110} and needing international governance structures to address it, including rules and targets.⁴ This is similar to world-threatening complex health issues like climate change.^{112,113,121} Global efforts might eventually include a new UN-level coordinating body and an international treaty with strong implementation mechanisms.^{121,122}

Other organisations

The NZCPHM supports, in general, the positions on antimicrobial resistance/stewardship of the following organisations:

- The NZ Veterinary Association’s 2015 Policy on the judicious use of antimicrobials¹⁰

- The Royal New Zealand College of General Practitioners' 2015 Policy Brief: Antibiotics and antimicrobial resistance: avoiding the post-antibiotic era¹¹
- The Royal Australasian College of Physicians' 2016 policy on antimicrobial resistance¹²
- The UK National Institute for Health Care Excellence's guidelines on antimicrobial stewardship¹⁷
- The UK Department of Health, Department for Environment Food & Rural Affairs UK 5 Year Antimicrobial Resistance Strategy 2013 to 2018.⁶³
- The WHO Western Pacific Regional Office action agenda⁶ and WHO Global action plan⁷ on antimicrobial resistance.

Links with other NZCPHM policies

- Pandemics and Emerging Infectious Diseases (forthcoming)
- Sustainability
- Immunisation
- Health Equity
- Housing
- Trans-Pacific Partnership Agreement
- Climate change

Acknowledgements

This policy statement was developed by the NZCPHM Policy Committee, and NZCPHM members and staff. The NZCPHM also thanks public health physician Nick Wilson, infectious disease physician Mark Thomas, clinical microbiologists Josh Freeman, Deborah Williamson, microbiologist Helen Heffernan, clinical pharmacist Chris Little, pharmacist Greg Williams, microbial geneticist Jack Heinemann, and the Antimicrobial Resistance Leadership Group of the NZ Veterinary Association.

References

1. Arnold BJ, Blackmore TK. Reflections on the evolving role of Infection Services in New Zealand. N Z Med J. 2015;128(1410):9-12. (<http://www.nzma.org.nz/journal/read-the-journal/all-issues/2010-2019/2015/vol-128-no-1409-20-feb-2015/6453>)
2. Thompson I. Antimicrobial stewardship in New Zealand: scoping research. Wellington: Health Quality & Safety Commission (HSQC), 2013. (<https://www.hqsc.govt.nz/assets/Infection-Prevention/PR/Antimicrobial-stewardship-report.pdf>)
3. World Health Organization. About the One Health Initiative. (<http://www.onehealthinitiative.com/about.php>)
4. Woolhouse M, Ward M, van Bunnik B, Farrar J. Antimicrobial resistance in humans, livestock and the wider environment. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2015;370(1670):20140083. (<http://rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/370/1670/20140083>)
5. Leung E, Weil DE, Raviglione M, Nakatani H, & on behalf of the World Health Organization World Health Day Antimicrobial Resistance Technical Working Group. The WHO policy package to combat antimicrobial resistance. Bull. World Health Organ. 2012;89:390-392. (<http://www.who.int/bulletin/volumes/89/5/11-088435/en/>)
6. WHO WRPO. Action Agenda for antimicrobial resistance in the Western Pacific Region. Manila: World Health Organization Regional Office for the Western Pacific, 2015. (http://www.wpro.who.int/entity/drug_resistance/documents/action_agenda.pdf, <http://www.wpro.who.int/mediacentre/releases/2014/20140801/en/>)

7. World Health Organization. Global action plan on antimicrobial resistance. Geneva: WHO, 2015. (http://www.wpro.who.int/entity/drug_resistance/resources/global_action_plan_eng.pdf, <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs194/en/>)
8. The Review on Antimicrobial Resistance. Tackling drug-resistant infections globally: Final report and recommendations. London: The Review on Antimicrobial Resistance, 2016. (http://amr-review.org/sites/default/files/160525_Final%20paper_with%20cover.pdf)
9. Ministry of Health. Antimicrobial resistance webpage (<http://www.health.govt.nz/our-work/diseases-and-conditions/antimicrobial-resistance>) (Accessed 28 June 2016).
10. New Zealand Veterinary Association. New Zealand Veterinary Association Policy 2e: Judicious use of antimicrobials. Wellington: NZVA, 2015. (<http://www.nzva.org.nz/policies/2e-judicious-use-antimicrobials>)
11. The Royal New Zealand College of General Practitioners. Antibiotics and antimicrobial resistance: avoiding a post-antibiotic era. Policy brief. Wellington: RNZCGP, 2015. (<https://www.rnzcgp.org.nz/assets/documents/Publications/Occasional-Papers/Policy-brief-May.pdf>)
12. The Royal Australasian College of Physicians. Antimicrobial Resistance in New Zealand. Wellington: NZ Adult Medicine Division Committee and NZ Policy & Advocacy Committee, Royal Australasian College of Physicians, 2016. (<https://www.racp.edu.au/docs/default-source/pdfs/fr-nz-antimicrobial-resistance-in-nz.pdf>)
13. World Health Organization. World Health Day – 7 April 2011. Antimicrobial resistance: no action today, no cure tomorrow. (<http://www.who.int/world-health-day/2011/en/>)
14. Walsh TR, Toleman MA. The emergence of pan-resistant gram negative pathogens merits a rapid global political response. J Antimicrob Chemother 2012;67:1-3. (<http://jac.oxfordjournals.org/content/67/1/1.long>)
15. Thueretzbacher U. Accelerating resistance, inadequate drug pipelines and international responses. Int J Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2012;39:295-9. ([http://www.ijaaonline.com/article/S0924-8579\(12\)00014-3/fulltext](http://www.ijaaonline.com/article/S0924-8579(12)00014-3/fulltext))
16. Lodato EM, Kaplan W. Background Paper 6.1 Antimicrobial resistance. Update on 2004 Background Paper Priority Medicines for Europe and the World: A Public Health Approach to Innovation. World Health Organization, 2013. (http://www.who.int/medicines/areas/priority_medicines/BP6_1AMR.pdf)
17. McCarthy M. Chief Medical Officer Dame Sally Davies: Resistance to antibiotics risks health 'catastrophe' to rank with terrorism and climate change. The Independent. 2013 March 11. (<http://www.independent.co.uk/news/science/chief-medical-officer-dame-sally-davies-resistance-to-antibiotics-risks-health-catastrophe-to-rank-with-terrorism-and-climate-change-8528442.html>)
18. World Health Organization. Worldwide country situation analysis: response to antimicrobial resistance. Geneva: WHO, 2015. (<http://www.who.int/drugresistance/documents/situationanalysis/en/>)
19. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Antibiotic / Antimicrobial Resistance. (<http://www.cdc.gov/drugresistance/index.html>)
20. Costelloe C, Metcalfe C, Lovering A, et al. Effect of antibiotic prescribing in primary care on antimicrobial resistance in individual patients: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ. 2010;340:c2096. (<http://www.bmj.com/content/340/bmj.c2096.long>)
21. Bell BG, Schellevis F, Stobberingh E, et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the effects of antibiotic consumption on antibiotic resistance. BMC Infect Dis. 2014;14:13. (<http://bmcinfectdis.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2334-14-13>)

22. Goossens H, Ferech M, Vander Stichele R, Elseviers M. Outpatient antibiotic use in Europe and association with resistance: a cross-national database study. *Lancet* 2005;365:579–87. ([http://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736\(05\)17907-0/fulltext](http://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736(05)17907-0/fulltext))
23. Williamson D, Ritchie SR, Best E, Upton A, Leversha A, Smith A, Thomas MG. A bug in the ointment: topical antimicrobial usage and resistance in New Zealand. *N Z Med J.* 2015;128(1426):103-9. (<https://www.nzma.org.nz/journal/read-the-journal/all-issues/2010-2019/2015/vol-128-no-1426-4-december-2015/6753>)
24. Williamson DA, Heffernan H. The changing landscape of antimicrobial resistance in New Zealand. *N Z Med J.* 2014;127:41-54. (<https://www.nzma.org.nz/journal/read-the-journal/all-issues/2010-2019/2014/vol-127-no-1403/6315>)
25. Heinemann JA, Ankenbauer RG, Amábile-Cuevas CF. Do antibiotics maintain antibiotic resistance? *Drug Discov Today.* 2000;5:195-204. (<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1359644600014835>)
26. Udikovic-Kolic N, Wichmann F, Broderick NA, Handelsman J. Bloom of resident antibiotic-resistant bacteria in soil following manure fertilization. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2014;111(42):15202-7. (<http://www.pnas.org/content/111/42/15202.long>)
27. Thomas MG, Smith AJ, Tilyard M. Rising antimicrobial resistance: a strong reason to reduce excessive antimicrobial consumption in New Zealand. *N Z Med J.* 2014;127:72-84. (<https://www.nzma.org.nz/journal/read-the-journal/all-issues/2010-2019/2014/vol-127-no-1394/6136>)
28. Ticehurst R, Thomas M. Antimicrobial consumption at Auckland City Hospital: 2006-2009. *N Z Med J.* 2011;124:9-20. (<https://www.nzma.org.nz/journal/read-the-journal/all-issues/2010-2019/2011/vol-124-no-1332/article-ticehurst>)
29. Ministry of Health. Implementing Medicines New Zealand 2015 to 2020. Wellington: Ministry of Health, 2015. (<http://www.health.govt.nz/publication/implementing-medicines-new-zealand-2015-2020>)
30. Freeman J, Sieczkowski C, Anderson T, Morris AJ, Keenan A, Roberts SA. Improving hand hygiene in New Zealand hospitals to increase patient safety and reduce costs: results from the first hand hygiene national compliance audit for 2012. *N Z Med J.* 2012;125(1357):178-81. (<https://www.nzma.org.nz/journal/read-the-journal/all-issues/2010-2019/2012/vol-125-no-1357/letter-freeman>)
31. Health Quality & Safety Commission (HSQC). Hand hygiene NZ website (<http://www.handhygiene.org.nz/>)
32. Barry T, Manning S, Lee MS, et al. Respiratory hygiene practices by the public during the 2009 influenza pandemic: an observational study. *Influenza Other Respir Viruses.* 2011;5:317-20. (<http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1750-2659.2011.00228.x/epdf>)
33. Good RA. Nutrition and immunity. *J Clin Immunol.* 1981;1(1):3-11. (<http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF00915471>)
34. Ip S, Chung M, Raman G, Chew P, Magula N, et al. Breastfeeding and maternal and infant health outcomes in developed countries. *Evid Rep Technol Assess.* 2007;(153):1-186. (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK38337/>)
35. Kelly A, Denning-Kemp G, Geiringer K, et al. Exposure to harmful housing conditions is common in children admitted to Wellington Hospital. *N Z Med J.* 2013;126:108-26. (<https://www.nzma.org.nz/journal/read-the-journal/all-issues/2010-2019/2013/vol-126-no-1387/5950>)
36. Jaine R, Baker M, Venugopal K. Acute rheumatic fever associated with household crowding in a developed country. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2011;30:315-9.

37. Baker MG, Barnard LT, Kvalsvig A, Verrall A, Zhang J, Keall M, Wilson N, Wall T, Howden-Chapman P. Increasing incidence of serious infectious diseases and inequalities in New Zealand: a national epidemiological study. *Lancet*. 2012;379(9821):1112-9. (<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0140673611617807>)
38. Sears A, Baker MG, Wilson N, et al. Marked campylobacteriosis decline after interventions aimed at poultry, New Zealand. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2011;17:1007-15. (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3358198/>)
39. Williamson D, Dyet K, Heffernan H. Antimicrobial resistance in human isolates of *Campylobacter jejuni*, 2015. The Institute of Environmental Science and Research Limited (ESR), 2015. (https://surv.esr.cri.nz/PDF_surveillance/Antimicrobial/CAMPY/CampyFQRfinalreport2015.pdf)
40. Australasian Science. Are doctors to blame for superbugs? Melbourne: Australasian Science, 2013. (<http://www.australasianscience.com.au/article/issue-july-and-august-2013/are-doctors-blame-superbugs.html>)
41. Science Media Centre. Are airlines to blame for antibiotic resistance? Experts respond. Wellington. Science Media Centre, 2013. (<http://www.sciencemediacentre.co.nz/2013/08/02/are-airlines-to-blame-for-antibiotic-resistance-experts-respond/>)
42. Van der Bij AK, Pitout JD. The role of international travel in the worldwide spread of multiresistant *Enterobacteriaceae*. *J. Antimicrob Chemother*. 2012; 67: 2090-100. (<http://jac.oxfordjournals.org/content/early/2012/06/07/jac.dks214.full>)
43. Johnson AP and Woodford N. Global spread of antibiotic resistance; the example of New Delhi metallo- β -lactamase (NDM)-mediated carbapenem resistance type. *J Med Microbiol* 2013; 62: 499-513. (http://jmm.sgmjournals.org/content/62/Pt_4/499.full.pdf)
44. Upton A, Lang S, Heffernan H. Mupirocin and *Staphylococcus aureus*: a recent paradigm of emerging antibiotic resistance. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2003;51(3):613-7. (<http://jac.oxfordjournals.org/content/51/3/613.long>)
45. Williamson DA, Monecke S, Heffernan H, Ritchie SR, Roberts SA, et al. High usage of topical fusidic acid and rapid clonal expansion of fusidic acid-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: a cautionary tale. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2014;59(10):1451-4. (<http://cid.oxfordjournals.org/content/59/10/1451.long>)
46. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Facility guidance for control of carbapenem resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* (CRE), November 2015 Update (<http://www.cdc.gov/hai/organisms/cre/cre-toolkit/>)
47. The Institute of Environmental Science and Research. Public health surveillance: antimicrobial resistance website. https://surv.esr.cri.nz/antimicrobial/antimicrobial_resistance.php
48. Williamson DA, Roos RF, Verrall A. Antibiotic consumption in New Zealand, 2006–2014. Porirua, New Zealand: The Institute of Environmental Science and Research Ltd, 2016. Surveillance report prepared for the Ministry of Health, client report FW15031. (https://surv.esr.cri.nz/surveillance/antibiotic_consumption.php)
49. Health Quality & Safety Commission. Infection Prevention and Control. Wellington: HQSC, 2016. (<http://www.hqsc.govt.nz/our-programmes/infection-prevention-and-control/>)
50. analysis of PHARMAC prescription data and DHB purchases 2014/15 Financial Year, crude aggregation mg
51. Ministry of Health. Antibiotic resistance. <http://www.health.govt.nz/our-work/diseases-and-conditions/antibiotic-resistance> .
52. Vodicka TA, Thompson M, Lucas P, et al. Reducing antibiotic prescribing for children with respiratory tract infections in primary care: a systematic review. *Br J Gen Pract*. 2013;63:e445-54. (<http://bjgp.org/content/63/612/e445.long>)

53. Ranji SR, Steinman MA, Shojania KG, et al. Interventions to reduce unnecessary antibiotic prescribing: a systematic review and quantitative analysis. *Med Care*. 2008;46:847-62. (summary at <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmedhealth/PMH0026038/>)
54. Roque F, Herdeiro MT, Soares S, et al. Educational interventions to improve prescription and dispensing of antibiotics: a systematic review. *BMC Public Health*. 2014;14:1276. (<http://bmcpublichealth.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2458-14-1276>)
55. Arroll B, Kenealy T, Kerse N. Do delayed prescriptions reduce antibiotic use in respiratory tract infections? A systematic review. *Br J Gen Pract*. 2003;53:871-7. (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1314731/pdf/14702908.pdf>)
56. The Best Practice Advocacy Centre New Zealand (bpac^{nz}) & National Institute for Health Care Excellence (NICE). Respiratory tract infections (self-limiting) – reducing antibiotic prescribing: Management of self-limiting respiratory tract infections in adults and children in primary care. 2015. (<http://www.bpac.org.nz/guidelines/1/>)
57. NZS 8134.3:2008 Health and disability services Standards - Health and disability services (infection prevention and control) Standards. (<http://shop.standards.co.nz/catalog/8134.3%3A2008%28NZS%29/view>)
58. Duffy E, Gardiner S, du Plessis T, Bondesio K, Morar B. A snapshot of antimicrobial use in New Zealand hospitals--a comparison to Australian and English data. *N Z Med J*. 2015;128(1421):82-4. (<https://www.nzma.org.nz/journal/read-the-journal/all-issues/2010-2019/2015/vol-128-no-1421-4-september-2015/6651>)
59. Fernández L, Breidenstein EB, Hancock RE. Creeping baselines and adaptive resistance to antibiotics. *Drug Res. Updat*. 2011;14:1-21. (<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1368764611000021>)
60. PHARMAC. Wide Use of Antibiotics Campaign. Wellington: PHARMAC, 2007. (<http://www.pharmac.govt.nz/2007/05/15/160507a.pdf>)
61. National Institute for Health Care Excellence. Antimicrobial stewardship – changing risk-related behaviours in the general population. Forthcoming 2016. (<https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/indevelopment/gid-phg89>).
62. Commonwealth of Australia. Responding to the threat of antimicrobial resistance: Australia's First National Antimicrobial Resistance Strategy 2015–2019. 2015. ([http://www.health.gov.au/internet/main/publishing.nsf/Content/1803C433C71415CACA257C8400121B1F/\\$File/amr-strategy-2015-2019.pdf](http://www.health.gov.au/internet/main/publishing.nsf/Content/1803C433C71415CACA257C8400121B1F/$File/amr-strategy-2015-2019.pdf))
63. Department of Health, Department for Environment Food & Rural Affairs. UK 5 Year Antimicrobial Resistance Strategy 2013 to 2018. London: Department of Health, 2013. (<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/uk-5-year-antimicrobial-resistance-strategy-2013-to-2018>)
64. The Institute of Environmental Science and Research. Antibiotic Resistance. Wellington: ESR, 2016. (https://surv.esr.cri.nz/antimicrobial/antimicrobial_resistance.php)
65. Health Quality & Safety Commission. Infection Prevention and Control. Wellington: HQSC, 2016. (<http://www.hqsc.govt.nz/our-programmes/infection-prevention-and-control/>)
66. Ministry of Health. Healthcare Associated Infections Governance Group. Wellington: Ministry of Health, 2014. (<http://www.health.govt.nz/about-ministry/leadership-ministry/clinical-groups/healthcare-associated-infections-governance-group>)
67. McEwen SA, Fedorka-Cray PJ. Antimicrobial use and resistance in animals. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2002;34 Suppl 3:S93-S106. (http://cid.oxfordjournals.org/content/34/Supplement_3/S93.long)
68. Ministry for Primary Industries. Antibiotics Sales Analysis: 2009-2011. MPI Technical Paper No: 2013/62, 2013. (<https://www.mpi.govt.nz/document-vault/4126>)

69. Silley P, Simjee S, Schwarz S. Surveillance and monitoring of antimicrobial resistance and antibiotic consumption in humans and animals. *Rev Sci Tech.* 2012;31(1):105-20. (<http://www.oie.int/doc/ged/D11795.PDF>)
70. de Jong A, Thomas V, Klein U, Marion H, Moyaert H, Simjee S, Vallé M. Pan-European resistance monitoring programmes encompassing food-borne bacteria and target pathogens of food-producing and companion animals. *Int J Antimicrob Agents.* 2013;41(5):403-9. ([http://www.ijaaonline.com/article/S0924-8579\(12\)00437-2/fulltext](http://www.ijaaonline.com/article/S0924-8579(12)00437-2/fulltext))
71. EFSA, ECDC. EU Summary Report on antimicrobial resistance in zoonotic and indicator bacteria from humans, animals and food in 2013. Scientific report of EFSA and ECDC. Parma & Stockholm: European Food Safety Authority & European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC), 2015. (<http://ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications/Publications/antimicrobial-resistance-zoonotic-bacteria-humans-animals-food-EU-summary-report-2013.pdf>)
72. DANMAP – the Danish Integrated Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring and Research Programme (<http://www.danmap.org/>)
73. NethMap/MARAN. MARAN 2015. NethMap 2015. Consumption of antimicrobial agents and antimicrobial resistance among medically important bacteria in the Netherlands. (<http://docplayer.net/9497410-Maran-2015-nethmap-2015-consumption-of-antimicrobial-agents-and-antimicrobial-resistance-among-medically-important-bacteria-in-the-netherlands.html>)
74. Health Quality & Safety Commission. HQSC Atlas of Healthcare Variation: Infection and antibiotic use after major surgery. Wellington: HQSC, 2016. (<http://www.hqsc.govt.nz/assets/Health-Quality-Evaluation/Atlas/InfectionDF/atlas.html>)
75. Antibiotic Action website (<http://antibiotic-action.com/>)
76. Piddock LJ. Reflecting on the final report of the O'Neill Review on Antimicrobial Resistance. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2016 May 18. pii: S1473-3099(16)30127-X. ([http://www.thelancet.com/pdfs/journals/laninf/PIIS1473-3099\(16\)30127-X.pdf](http://www.thelancet.com/pdfs/journals/laninf/PIIS1473-3099(16)30127-X.pdf))
77. A three-step plan for antibiotics. *Nature.* 2014;509(7502):533. (<http://www.nature.com/news/a-three-step-plan-for-antibiotics-1.15291>)
78. Laxminarayan R, Matsoso P, Pant S, Brower C, Røttingen JA, Klugman K, Davies S. Access to effective antimicrobials: a worldwide challenge. *Lancet.* 2016;387(10014):168-75. ([http://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736\(15\)00474-2/fulltext](http://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736(15)00474-2/fulltext))
79. D'Agostino C, Rhodes NJ, Skoglund E, Roberts JA, Scheetz MH. Microbiologic clearance following transition from standard infusion piperacillin-tazobactam to extended-infusion for persistent Gram-negative bacteremia and possible endocarditis: a case report and review of the literature. *J Infect Chemother.* 2015;21(10):742-6. (<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1341321X1500135X>)
80. Roberts JA, Abdul-Aziz MH, Davis JS, Dulhunty JM, Cotta MO, et al. Continuous versus intermittent beta-lactam infusion in severe sepsis: a meta-analysis of individual patient data from randomized trials. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2016 Mar 14. [Epub ahead of print]. (<http://www.atsjournals.org/doi/abs/10.1164/rccm.201601-0024OC>)
81. McEwen SA, Fedorka-Cray PJ. Antimicrobial use and resistance in animals. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2002;34 Suppl 3:S93-S106. (http://cid.oxfordjournals.org/content/34/Supplement_3/S93.long)
82. Landers TF, Cohen B, Wittum TE, Larson EL. A review of antibiotic use in food animals: perspective, policy, and potential. *Public Health Rep.* 2012;127(1):4-22. (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3234384/>)
83. Lazarus B, Paterson DL, Mollinger JL, Rogers BA. Do human extraintestinal *Escherichia coli* infections resistant to expanded-spectrum cephalosporins originate from food-producing animals? A systematic review. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2015;60(3):439-52. (<http://cid.oxfordjournals.org/content/60/3/439.long>)

84. Nguyen do P, Nguyen TA, Le TH, Tran NM, Ngo TP, et al. Dissemination of extended-spectrum β -lactamase- and AmpC β -lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* within the food distribution system of Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam. *Biomed Res Int*. 2016;2016:8182096. (<http://www.hindawi.com/journals/bmri/2016/8182096/>)
85. Freeman JT, Hammer D, Thomas M. The future prospects of regulating in the interest of public health under the Trans Pacific Partnership Agreement: the example of agricultural antibiotic use. *N Z Med J*. 2014;127(1393):126-8. (<http://www.nzma.org.nz/journal/read-the-journal/all-issues/2010-2019/2014/vol-126-no-1393/letters-freeman>)
86. EMA. Sales of veterinary antimicrobial agents in 26 EU/EEA countries in 2012. Fourth ESVAC Report. London, UK: European Medicines Agency, 2014. (http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/Report/2014/10/WC500175671.pdf)
87. ECDC (European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control), EFSA (European Food Safety Authority) and EMA (European Medicines Agency). ECDC/EFSA/EMA first joint report on the integrated analysis of the consumption of antimicrobial agents and occurrence of antimicrobial resistance in bacteria from humans and foodproducing animals. Stockholm/Parma/London: ECDC/EFSA/EMA, 2015. *EFSA Journal* 2015;13(1):4006, 114 pp. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2015.4006 (<https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/efsajournal/pub/4006>, <http://ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications/Publications/antimicrobial-resistance-JIACRA-report.pdf>)
88. Hillerton JE, Irvine CR, Bryan MA, Scott D, Merchant SC. Use of antimicrobials for animals in New Zealand, and in comparison with other countries. *N Z Vet J*. 2016;4:1-7. (<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/00480169.2016.1171736>)
89. WHO critically important antibiotics (CIAs). http://www.who.int/foodsafety/areas_work/antimicrobial-resistance/cia/en/, <http://www.who.int/foodsafety/publications/antimicrobials-third/en/>, 2011.
90. The Review on Antimicrobial Resistance. Antimicrobials in agriculture and the environment: reducing unnecessary use and waste the review on antimicrobial resistance. London: The Review on Antimicrobial Resistance, 2015. page 16. (<http://amr-review.org/sites/default/files/Antimicrobials%20in%20agriculture%20and%20the%20environment%20-%20Reducing%20unnecessary%20use%20and%20waste.pdf>)
91. Watanabe N, Bergamaschi BA, Loftin KA, Meyer MT, Harter T. Use and environmental occurrence of antibiotics in freestall dairy farms with manured forage fields. *Environ Sci Technol*. 2010;44(17):6591-600. (<http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/es100834s>, <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2931405/>)
92. McEachran AD, Blackwell BR, Hanson JD, Wooten KJ, Mayer GD, Cox SB, Smith PN. Antibiotics, bacteria, and antibiotic resistance genes: aerial transport from cattle feed yards via particulate matter. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2015;123(4):337-43. (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1408555>)
93. Smith DL, Dushoff J, Glenn Morris J. Agricultural antibiotics and human health. *PLoS Med* 2005;2:e232 (<http://journals.plos.org/plosmedicine/article?id=10.1371/journal.pmed.0020232>)
94. Heuer OE, Hammerum AM, Collignon P, Wegener HC. Human health hazard from antimicrobial-resistant enterococci in animals and food. *Clin Infect Dis* 2006;43:911-6. (<http://cid.oxfordjournals.org/content/43/7/911.full>)
95. Chantziaras I, Boyen F, Callens B, Dewulf J. Correlation between veterinary antimicrobial use and antimicrobial resistance in food-producing animals: a report on seven countries. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2014;69(3):827-34. (<http://jac.oxfordjournals.org/content/69/3/827.full.pdf+htm>)
96. Liu YY, Wang Y, Walsh TR, Yi LX, Zhang R, et al. Emergence of plasmid-mediated colistin resistance mechanism MCR-1 in animals and human beings in China: a microbiological and molecular biological study. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2016;16(2):161-8. (<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1473309915004247><http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1473309915004247>)

97. Pruden A, Joakim Larsson DG, Amezcua A, et al. Management options for reducing the release of antibiotics and antibiotic resistance genes to the environment. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2013;121:878-84. (<http://ehp.niehs.nih.gov/1206446/>)
98. Vanneste JL. Avoiding resistance development to copper and antibiotics while controlling PSA. (<http://www.kiwifruitjournal.co.nz/issues/articles/filter/Technical>); Injected kiwifruit to be destroyed (http://www.nzherald.co.nz/bay-of-plenty-times/news/article.cfm?c_id=1503343&objectid=11064305)
99. Kurenbach B, Marjoshi D, Amábile-Cuevas CF, Ferguson GC, Godsoe W, Gibson P, Heinemann JA. Sublethal exposure to commercial formulations of the herbicides dicamba, 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid, and glyphosate cause changes in antibiotic susceptibility in *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella enterica* serovar *Typhimurium*. *MBio*. 2015;6(2). pii: e00009-15. (<http://mbio.asm.org/content/6/2/e00009-15.full.pdf+htm>)
100. personal communication Callum Irvine, NZ Veterinary Association
101. World Health Organization. WHO Global principles for the containment of antimicrobial resistance in animals intended for food. In Document No WHO/CDS/CSR/APH/2000.4. Geneva: WHO, 2000. pp. 1-23. (http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/68931/1/WHO_CDS_CSR_APH_2000.4.pdf)
102. Aaerstrup FM, Seyfarth AM, Emborg HD, et al. Effect of abolishment of the use of antimicrobial agents for growth promotion on occurrence of antimicrobial resistance on fecal enterococci from food animals in Denmark. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2001;45:2054-9. (<http://aac.asm.org/content/45/7/2054.long>)
103. Potera C. Germ Warfare? Strategies for reducing the spread of antibiotic resistance. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2013;121:255. (<http://ehp.niehs.nih.gov/121-a255/>)
104. Kluytmans J. Ban resistant strains from food chain. *Nature* 2013;501:316. (<http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v501/n7467/full/501316b.html>)
105. PWC. Antibiotic resistance: challenges and opportunities. Report to the New Zealand Veterinary Association. Wellington: PWC, 2015. (http://www.nzva.org.nz/sites/default/files/file_box/PwC_AMR_report_web.pdf)
106. NZVA. Veterinarians set antibiotic goal for animals. 20 July 2015. (<http://www.nzva.org.nz/mediarelease/veterinarians-set-antibiotic-goal-animals>)
107. Martin MJ, Thottathil SE, Newman TB. Antibiotics overuse in animal agriculture: a call to action for health care providers. *Am J Public Health*. 2015;105(12):2409-10. (<http://ajph.aphapublications.org/doi/pdf/10.2105/AJPH.2015.302870>)
108. Foster KR, Grundmann H. Do we need to put society first? The potential for tragedy in antimicrobial resistance. *PLoS Med*. 2006;3(2):e29. (<http://journals.plos.org/plosmedicine/article?id=10.1371/journal.pmed.0030029>)
109. Antimicrobial resistance: revisiting the "tragedy of the commons". *Bull World Health Organ*. 2010;88(11):805-6. (<http://www.who.int/bulletin/volumes/88/11/10-031110/en/>)
110. Hollis A, Maybarduk P. Antibiotic resistance is a tragedy of the commons that necessitates global cooperation. *J Law Med Ethics*. 2015;43 Suppl 3:33-7. (<http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/jlme.12272/abstract>)
111. Gluckman P. Climate change. Wellington: Office of the Prime Minister's Science Advisory Committee, 2013 (<http://www.pmcsa.org.nz/climate-change/>)
112. New Zealand College of Public Health Medicine. NZCPHM Policy Statement on Climate Change. Wellington: New Zealand College of Public Health Medicine, 2013. (<http://www.nzcp hm.org.nz/policy-publications>)

113. Metcalfe S, for the New Zealand College of Public Health Medicine and OraTaiao: The New Zealand Climate and Health Council. Fast, fair climate action crucial for health and equity. N Z Med J. 2015;128(1425):13-7. (<https://www.nzma.org.nz/journal/read-the-journal/all-issues/2010-2019/2015/vol-128-no-1425-20-november-2015/6741>)
114. Missing in action: an antimicrobial resistance strategy for New Zealand. Williamson D, Baker M, French N, Thomas M. N Z Med J. 2015;128(1427):65-7. (<https://www.nzma.org.nz/journal/read-the-journal/all-issues/2010-2019/2015/vol-128-no-1427-18-december-2015/6770>)
115. US Government. National action plan for combating antibiotic-resistant bacteria. Washington DC: The White House, 2015. (https://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/docs/national_action_plan_for_combating_antibiotic-resistant_bacteria.pdf)
116. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). Antimicrobial resistance strategies and action plans: Directory of strategies and action plans on antimicrobial resistance webpage, http://ecdc.europa.eu/en/healthtopics/Healthcare-associated_infections/guidance-infection-prevention-control/Pages/antimicrobial-resistance-strategies-action-plans.aspx
117. National Institute for Health Care Excellence. Antimicrobial stewardship: systems and processes for effective antimicrobial medicine use. London: National Institute for Health Care Excellence, 2015. (<https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng15>)
118. Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care. Antibiotic Awareness Week 14-20 November 2016: Preserve the miracle of antibiotics. Sydney: ACSQHC, 2016. (<http://www.safetyandquality.gov.au/our-work/healthcare-associated-infection/antimicrobial-stewardship/antibiotic-awareness-week/>)
119. Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care. AURA 2016: first Australian report on antimicrobial use and resistance in human health. Sydney: ACSQHC, 2016. (<http://www.safetyandquality.gov.au/publications/aura-2016-first-australian-report-on-antimicrobial-use-and-resistance-in-human-health/>)
120. Royal Society of New Zealand and Office of the Prime Minister's Chief Science Advisor. Health effects of water fluoridation: a review of the scientific evidence. Wellington and Auckland: Royal Society of New Zealand, Office of the Prime Minister's Chief Science Advisor, 2014. (<http://www.pmcsa.org.nz/wp-content/uploads/Health-effects-of-water-fluoridation-Aug2014.pdf>)
121. Woolhouse M, Farrar J. Policy: An intergovernmental panel on antimicrobial resistance. Nature. 2014;509(7502):555-7. (<http://www.nature.com/news/policy-an-intergovernmental-panel-on-antimicrobial-resistance-1.15275>)
122. Årdal C, Outterson K, Hoffman SJ, Ghafur A, Sharland M, et al. International cooperation to improve access to and sustain effectiveness of antimicrobials. Lancet. 2015;387:296-307. (<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0140673615004705>)

Adopted by Council: August 2016

Year for Review: 2018